

A MODEL DESIGNED TO PREDICT THE MOTION OF OBJECTS TRANSLATED BY CLASSICAL BLAST WAVES

I. Gerald Bowen, Ray W. Albright, E. Royce Fletcher, and Clayton S. White

Issuance Date: June 29, 1961

CIVIL EFFECTS TEST OPERATIONS U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

NOTICE

This report is published in the interest of providing information which may prove of value to the reader in his study of effects data derived principally from nuclear weapons tests.

This document is based on information available at the time of preparation which may have subsequently been expanded and re-evaluated. Also, in preparing this report for publication, some classified material may have been removed. Users are cautioned to avoid interpretations and conclusions based on unknown or incomplete data.

> PRINTED IN USA Price \$1.25. Available from the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D. C.

(

-

A MODEL DESIGNED TO PREDICT THE MOTION OF OBJECTS TRANSLATED BY CLASSICAL BLAST WAVES

By

\$

۲

5

رینی ج I. Gerald Bowen, Ray W. Albright, E. Royce Fletcher, and Clayton S. White

Approved by: R. L. CORSBIE Director Civil Effects Test Operations

Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education and Research Albuquerque, New Mexico January 1961 ; عو ę م

ABSTRACT

ï

3

A theoretical model was developed for the purpose of predicting the motion of objects translated by winds associated with "classical" blast waves produced by explosions. Among the factors omitted from the model for the sake of simplicity were gravity and the friction that may occur between the displaced object and the surface upon which it initially rested. Numerical solutions were obtained (up to the time when maximum missile velocity occurs) in terms of dimensionless quantities to facilitate application to specific blast situations. The results were computed within arbitrarily chosen limits for blast waves with shock strengths from 0.068 to 1.7 atm (1 to 25 psi at sea level) for displaced objects with aerodynamic characteristics ranging from those of a human being to those of 10-mg stones and for weapon yields at least as small as 1 kt or as large as 20 Mt.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge helpful discussions with Sandia Corporation personnel in the Weapons Effects Department, especially in regard to the physics of the blast wave. Among those giving consultation were Mr. L. J. Vortman, Dr. M. L. Merritt, Dr. T. B. Cook, and Dr. C. D. Broyles.

1

Particular appreciation is expressed to Dr. Harold L. Brode of Rand Corporation for the blast-wave data that he supplied to us in the form of empirical equations derived from results computed from a point-source explosion model.

Computations necessary for the numerical solution of the equations of motion derived in this report were made possible through the cooperation of the Systems Analysis Department of Sandia Corporation. This department not only made available to us an electronic digital computer but also assisted in the preparation of a suitable program to accomplish the necessary computations. For this help we wish to thank Dr. W. W. Bledsoe, Dr. D. R. Morrison, Mrs. Pauline Van Delinder, and Mr. W. W. Whisler.

Also, appreciation is expressed to Lovelace Foundation personnel who assisted in the preparation of this report: Mr. Jerome Kleinfeld, Mr. Malcolm A. Osoff, and Mr. David W. Roeder for preparation of data for charts and tables; Mr. Robert A. Smith, Mr. Roy D. Caton, and Mr. George S. Bevil for preparation of illustrative material; Mrs. Isabell D. Benton, Mrs. Martina B. Smith, Mrs. Joanna Upthegrove, and Mrs. Frances E. Moore for editorial and secretarial assistance in preparation of the manuscript.

Lastly, the work reported here is a segment of that carried out on the biological effects of blast from bombs made possible through support by the Division of Biology and Medicine of the Atomic Energy Commission under contract with the Lovelace Foundation. The interest and encouragement of Dr. C. L. Dunham, Mr. R. L. Corsbie, Dr. H. D. Bruner, and Dr. J. F. Bonner, all of the Atomic Energy Commission, are gratefully acknowledged.

CONTENTS

•

ABSTRA	СТ	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	÷	•	•	•	5
ACKNOW	LEDGMENTS	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
CHAPTE	R 1 INTRODUC	CTION	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
1.1	Objectives .	• •				•	•		•		•			11
1.2	Scope and Limi	itations	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
CHAPTE	R 2 ANALYTI	CAL PRO	CEDU	RE	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	13
2.1	Nomenclature													12
2.2	Equations of M	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
2.4	221 Fundam	ontal Con	conte	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	14
	$2.2.1$ Fullually $2.2.1$ Fullually $C_{\rm c}$	orrection	cepts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	14
	2.2.2 Time CC	ional Ana	Imaia	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	14
	2.2.5 Dimensi	ional Ana	lysis	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
• •	Z.Z.4 Approxi	mation So	Jution		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
2.3	Evaluation of B	slast-wav	e vari	ables	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16
	2.3.1 General	Remarks	5.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16
	2.3.2 Dynamic	c Pressui	ce and	Wind `	Veloc	ity	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16
	2.3.3 Overpre	essure vs	. Time	e and C)verpı	ressu	re In	ipulse	9	•	•	•	•	17
	2.3.4 Duration	n Concept	s.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	18
	2.3.5 Velocity	of Propa	agation	of the	e Pres	ssure	Dist	urban	ice	•	•	•	•	18
CHAPTE	R 3 COMPUTA	TIONAL	METH	IOD	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	22
21	Saona of Comm	itational	Ffort											n n
2.7	Conoral Dianni	national	Enort	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	22
J.4 2 2	General Fianni	ng .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	44
3.3	Step Size .	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	22
3.4	Machine Output	i	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	24
3.5	Discussion of H	srror .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	25
											-			
CHAPTE	R 4 RESULTS:	COMPU	TED N	OITON	N PA	RAM	ETEF	RS FC	OR OE	JEC	rs			
	DISPLACE	ED BY CI	LASSIC	AL BI	LAST	WAV	ES	•	•	•	•	•	•	26
CHAPTE	R 5 INTERPRI	ETATION	OFR	ESULT	гs	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	33
5.1	General Remar	·ks .												33
5.2	Acceleration C	oefficient	s for '	Variou	s Ohi	ects	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	33
53	Weapon Vield a		Dara	vai iou motor			•.	•	•	•	•	•	•	36
5.J	Maximum Volo	oity and 4	orree	nordi-	n Na Dia	• nlogg	• mant	•	•	•	•	•	•	36
U.4 5 6	Fatimation of N	dovimum	Voloe	ity fro	ig Dis	tol D	ionle	· •	•	•	•	•	•	00
0.0 E ¢	Computed Vela	aitu and 1	Veroc Diamle	10 110	111 IO	lai D	aular	Ohie	at c	•	• .	•	•	40
5.0	5 6 1 Internel	city and I	Jispia	cemen	101]	raru	cular	onle	CLS	•	•	•	•	43
	5.0.1 Interpol	ation of A	Alpha a		erpre	ssure		•	• •	•	•	•	•	43
	5.5.2 Velocity	and Disj	placem	ient Pi	realct	ea 10	r Mai	n and	ior					
	Glass F	ragments		•	•		•		•	•		•		43

CONTENTS (Continued)

	5.6.3 P	redicted M	aximu	m Vel	locitie	es and	l Cor	respo	nding	5					
	D	isplacemen	ts for	1-g S	Stones	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	46
CHAPTE	R6 DIS	CUSSION	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	47
APPEND	IX A AI	PROXIMA	FION I	метн	ODS	TO SU	JPPL	EME	NT T	HE					
	CC	MPUTED I	RESUI	LTS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	49
A.1	General	Remarks	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	49
A.2	Equatior	ns of Motior	ı Appl	ying f	or Sh	ort Ti	imes	After	Arri	val of	E				
	the Blas	t Wave .	•	•	•	•	•		•		•			•	49
A.3	Equation	ns of Motior	n for (Object	s with	n Sma	11 Ac	celer	ation						
	Coefficie	ents .	•		•	•		•				•	•		50
A.4	Approxi	mation Rela	tions	for L	arge	Accel	erati	on Co	effici	ients		•		•	52
A.5	Normali	zed Velocit	v vs.	Dista	nce fo	r Mis	siles	with	Low						
	Acceler	ation Coeffi	cients	з.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	54

\$

e.

ILLUSTRATIONS

CHAPTER 2 ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE

2.1	Shock Overpressure as a	ι Fu	nction o	of the	e Rati	o of (Overp	ressu	ire				
	Impulse to Overpressure	Du	ration	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	19
2.2	Ratio of Duration of Wind	i to	That of	Ove	rpres	sure	as a	Func	tion o	f			
	Shock Overpressure .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	20

CHAPTER 3 COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

3.1	Blast and Missi	ile-m	otion	Para	meter	rs vs.	Tim	e Afte	er Ar	rival	of				
	Blast Wave	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	23

CHAPTER 5 INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

Anthropometric Dummy Translation History, Obtained from Full-scale			
Weapon Test, Compared with That Predicted Using Various Values			
of Acceleration Coefficient and Computed Data	•	•	35
Predicted Maximum Velocity as a Function of Acceleration Coefficient			
and Shock Overpressure (W = 1 kt)	•		37
Predicted Displacement at Maximum Velocity as a Function of			
Acceleration Coefficient and Shock Overpressure $(W = 1 kt)$	•		38
Predicted Maximum Velocity as a Function of Acceleration Coefficient			
and Shock Overpressure (W = 20 kt)	•		39
Predicted Displacement at Maximum Velocity as a Function of			
Acceleration Coefficient and Shock Overpressure ($W = 20 \text{ kt}$)	•	•	40
Predicted Maximum Velocity as a Function of Acceleration Coefficient			
and Shock Overpressure (W = 1000 kt)	•	•	41
Predicted Displacement at Maximum Velocity as a Function of			
Acceleration Coefficient and Shock Overpressure ($W = 1000 \text{ kt}$) .	•		42
Relation Between Velocity and Displacement as a Function of			
Weapon Yield	•	•	44
Relation Between Shock Overpressure and Yield for Various Values			
of Maximum Velocity and Displacement at Maximum Velocity	•	•	45
	Anthropometric Dummy Translation History, Obtained from Full-scale Weapon Test, Compared with That Predicted Using Various Values of Acceleration Coefficient and Computed Data	Anthropometric Dummy Translation History, Obtained from Full-scaleWeapon Test, Compared with That Predicted Using Various Valuesof Acceleration Coefficient and Computed Data	Anthropometric Dummy Translation History, Obtained from Full-scaleWeapon Test, Compared with That Predicted Using Various Valuesof Acceleration Coefficient and Computed Data

ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

~

ŝ

5

APPENDIX A APPROXIMATION METHODS TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPUTED RESULTS

Δ 1	Relation Between Velocity Displacement and Time After Arrival of			
11.1	the Blast Wave	•	•	51
A.2	Displacement at Maximum Velocity as a Function of Acceleration			
	Coefficient for Various Values of Shock Overpressure	•	•	53
A.3	Normalized Velocity vs. Normalized Displacement for Various Values			
	of Acceleration Coefficient	•	•	55
ТА	BLFS			
IA	DLLO			
CHAPTE	R 3 COMPUTATIONAL METHOD			
3.1	Incremental Values of the Independent Variable and Parametric Values			
	for Which Computations Were Performed	•	•	24
CHAPTE	R 4 RESULTS: COMPUTED MOTION PARAMETERS FOR OBJECTS DISPLACED BY CLASSICAL BLAST WAVES			
4 1	Commuted Metics, Demonstrate for Objects Displaced by Objects I			
4.1	Computed Motion Parameters for Objects Displaced by Classical			~-
	Blast waves	•	•	27
CHAPTE	R 5 INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS			
5.1	Typical Acceleration Coefficients			34

è ş Ţ .

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 OBJECTIVES

During the 1955 and 1957 Test Operations at the Nevada Test Site, the masses and velocities of over 20,000 objects (window-glass fragments, stones, spheres, sticks, etc.) which were translated by nuclear-produced blast waves were experimentally determined, ¹⁻⁴ along with a time-displacement history of an anthropometric dummy simulating man.⁵ The availability of such a mass of data stimulated an analytical study calculated to arrive at a mathematical formulation capable of predicting the translation of objects by blast, particularly since this data offered an empirical fabric against which to test the success of the analytical effort.

The purpose of this report is to describe, step by step, the theoretical studies that have resulted in a mathematical model capable of predicting the motion of objects utilizing selected basic blast parameters. This model, however, is applicable only to those situations in which *classical wave forms exist.

1.2 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

The applicability of the model itself has no well-defined limits; however, the numerical solutions that were obtained and are reported herein have been arbitrarily limited in scope. In general, the aim was to compute velocity, displacement, and acceleration as a function of time for objects ranging in size from a pea to man; these computations were to cover blast waves with shock overpressures from 1 to 25 psi (14.7-psi ambient pressure) and weapon yields from 1 kt to 20 Mt.

Another class of limitations is invoked not by the scope of the computations, but by the model itself. Formulation of a workable model was facilitated by the use of certain simplifying assumptions. These assumptions, which are discussed below, have not, in general, caused serious discrepancies between predicted velocities and those measured in the field operations, particularly in those situations where the blast wave was classical.[†]

As a practical approach, it was assumed first that the effect of surface friction was negligible. It has been observed that fairly large objects tend to be lofted when subjected to blast waves; the more intense the blast, the heavier the object that it is capable of lifting against gravity. Nonspherical objects could develop either positive or negative lift depending on their orientation to the wind. Thus, the validity of the no-friction assumption is dependent upon the strength of the blast wave, the object under consideration, its random orientation, and the nature of the surface over which translation occurs. It will be shown later that certain uses can be made of the data even for situations in which surface friction is a significant factor.

^{*}The term "classical blast wave" is used in this report to mean the typical wave not appreciably modified by terrain effects and possessing a well-defined shock front.

[†]A limited discussion of the agreement between predicted and measured velocities is. made later in this report. A more complete treatment will be found in Ref. 3.

A second approximation made concerned the assumption that there was no gain or loss of energy as a result of the object's moving with or against gravity. The kinetic energy that is lost during lofting would be regained as the object fell to its original elevation, thus mitigating somewhat the error in the predicted motion.

2

Third, only the propelling force of the wind was considered. Another force that might have been included was that due to differences in overpressure between one side of the object and the other during passage of the shock front (diffractive loading). Since the bodies being considered were relatively small (up to the size of man), the classical blast wave would engulf the object very quickly and impart only a small momentum as a result of the overpressure itself.

Fourth, it was assumed that there was no change in the properties of an object which governed acceleration (area presented to the wind, drag coefficient, and mass) during the accelerative phase of displacement. For irregular, rigid objects that are nearly spherical, such as stones, this is a reasonable assumption. For objects that are obviously nonspherical or deformable, prediction of a range of velocities taking into account both maximum and minimum drag areas is often used. Another useful procedure is to employ the average drag area derived from the concept of random orientation.⁶

Fifth, no allowance was made for the fact that a displaced object may be moved to a lower overpressure region and thus be acted upon by correspondingly weaker blast winds. The results of the computations themselves seem to justify the neglection of this phenomenon. It will be shown that displaced objects receive a large percentage of their velocities in a relatively short distance over which the decay of the blast wave is small.

REFERENCES

- 1. I. Gerald Bowen, Allen F. Strehler, and Mead B. Wetherbe, Distribution and Density of Missiles from Nuclear Explosions, Operation Teapot Report, WT-1168, March 1956.
- 2. I. Gerald Bowen, Donald R. Richmond, Mead B. Wetherbe, and Clayton S. White, Biological Effects of Blast from Bombs. Glass Fragments as Penetrating Missiles and Some of the Biological Implications of Glass Fragmented by Atomic Explosions, Report AECU-3350, June 18, 1956.
- 3. I. Gerald Bowen et al., Secondary Missiles Generated by Nuclear-produced Blast Waves, Operation Plumbbob Report, WT-1468 (in preparation).
- 4. V. C. Goldizen, D. R. Richmond, and T. L. Chiffelle, Missile Studies with a Biological Target, Operation Plumbbob Report, WT-1470, January 1961.
- 5. R. V. Taborelli, I. G. Bowen, and E. R. Fletcher, Tertiary Effects of Blast-Displacement, Operation Plumbbob Report, WT-1469, May 22, 1959.
- E. Royce Fletcher et al., Determination of Aerodynamic Drag Parameters of Small Irregular Objects by Means of Drop Tests, Civil Effects Test Operations, Report CEX-59.14 (in preparation).

Chapter 2

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE

2.1 NOMENCLATURE

The terminology used in this study is defined in this section. A lower-case letter is used to represent a quantity with dimensions. In general, the same letter is capitalized if the quantity is made dimensionless by an appropriate factor or factors. Thus, the dimensionless term is represented by its principle variable. The factors, or parameters, used to make quantities dimensionless invariably are constants for any given blast situation.

 α = acceleration coefficient = sC_d/m

 $\mathbf{A} = \alpha \mathbf{p}_0 \mathbf{t}_u^+ / \mathbf{c}_0$

 c_0 = speed of sound in undisturbed air

 $C_d = drag \ coefficient \ of \ moving \ object$

d = distance of travel of moving object

 d_m = distance of travel of moving object when maximum velocity is reached

 $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{d} / (\mathbf{t_u^+ c_0})$

I = overpressure impulse = $\int_{a}^{t_p^+} P dt$

m = mass of moving object

 $p = overpressure or pressure in excess of p_0$

 $p_0 = pressure of undisturbed air$

p_s = maximum or shock overpressure

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p}/\mathbf{p}_0$$

 $P_s = p_s/p_0$, shock overpressure in atmospheres

 $q = dynamic pressure = (1/2)\rho u^2$

 $q_s = dynamic$ pressure at the shock front

 $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{q}/\mathbf{p}_0$

 $Q_s = q_s/p_0$

 $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{air}$ density

s = area presented to wind by moving object

t = time after arrival of blast wave

 $t_p^+ =$ duration of positive pressure phase of blast wave

 t_u^+ = duration of winds in the direction of propagation of the blast wave

$$\overline{T} = t/t_n^+$$

u = velocity of the air

 $U = u/c_0$

v = velocity of the moving object

 v_m = maximum velocity of moving object

 $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{v}/\mathbf{c}_0$

 $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ = acceleration of moving object

 $\dot{\mathbf{V}} = \dot{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{u}}^{+}/\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{0}}$

W = weapon yield in kilotons

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ = velocity of propagation of the pressure disturbance

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \dot{\mathbf{x}}/\mathbf{c}_0$$

 $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{t}/\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{u}}^+$

NOTE: Any variable that is underlined indicates the average value taken over a particular time interval.

<u>*</u>

5

7

2.2 EQUATIONS OF MOTION

2.2.1 Fundamental Concepts

Newton's second law of motion can be stated as

Force =
$$m \frac{dv}{dt}$$

and the drag force on a moving object is

Force
$$=\frac{1}{2}\rho(u-v)^2 sC_d$$

since the net wind moving past the object is (u - v). Combining the above equations and solving for dv, we obtain

$$dv = \rho \frac{(u-v)^2}{2} \frac{sC_d}{m} dt$$
(2.1)

It was convenient to isolate and label the physical parameters that involve the moving object in Eq. 2.1.

$$\frac{sC_d}{m} = \alpha \tag{2.2}$$

Now, α can be called "acceleration coefficient" since it completely describes the object in so far as the computation of velocity vs. time is concerned. Thus, two objects possessing the same value of α , regardless of dissimilarity of shape, size, and mass, would experience the same increase in velocity if exposed to the same or similar blast waves.

2.2.2 Time Correction

Since the moving object, or missile, travels along with the blast wave, the time during which it is exposed to blast winds is longer the higher its velocity is in relation to the velocity of propagation of the pressure disturbance and associated winds. Consider a small segment of the blast wave of length dx where the air-particle density and velocity are approximately constant. If this segment moves with a velocity x, then

where dt is the time required for the segment dx to pass a fixed point. Similarly, the velocity of propagation of a blast-wave segment past a missile that itself is moving at velocity v is $(\dot{x} - v)$, and

$$d\mathbf{x} = (\dot{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{t'}$$

where dt' is the time required for the blast segment to pass the missile. By eliminating dx between the above equations, we obtain

$$dt' = dt \frac{\dot{x}}{\dot{x} - v}$$
(2.3)

Combining Eqs. 2.1 and 2.2 and substituting the corrected time dt' of Eq. 2.3 for dt, we obtain

$$dv = \frac{1}{2}\rho\alpha(u-v)^2 \frac{\dot{x}}{(\dot{x}-v)} dt$$
(2.4)

It was more convenient to work with dynamic pressure, $q = (1/2) \rho u^2$, than with air density. For this reason Eq. 2.4 was modified to

$$dv = q\alpha \left(\frac{u-v}{u}\right)^2 \frac{\dot{x}}{(\dot{x}-v)} dt$$
(2.5)

2.2.3 Dimensional Analysis

The blast-wave variables in Eq. 2.5 are determined as a function of time by four parameters: (1) shock overpressure, p_s ; (2) ambient pressure, p_0 ; (3) duration of the positive winds, t_u^+ ; and (4) speed of sound in the undisturbed air, c_0 . Computations were made for particular values of shock overpressure in atmospheres, $P_s = p_s/p_0$. The last three parameters, however, were used to make the variables in Eq. 2.5 dimensionless. The obvious advantage of this procedure is that computed values of missile velocity, distance of travel, and acceleration can be modified after the computations have been made to fit any blast situation defined by p_0 , t_u^+ , and c_0 .

The variables of Eq. 2.5 were made dimensionless through the application of the following algebratic operations: (1) both sides of the equation were divided by c_0 , (2) the numerators and the denominators of the two fractions were divided by c_0 , (3) α was multiplied by p_0 and q was divided by p_0 , and (4) t was divided by t_u^+ and α was multiplied by t_u^+ .

After these operations have been performed, Eq. 2.5 becomes

$$d\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{c}_{0}}\right) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{p}_{0}}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha \mathbf{p}_{0} \mathbf{t}_{u}^{+}}{\mathbf{c}_{0}}\right) \left[\frac{(\mathbf{u}/\mathbf{c}_{0}) - (\mathbf{v}/\mathbf{c}_{0})}{\mathbf{u}/\mathbf{c}_{0}}\right]^{2} \left[\frac{\dot{\mathbf{x}}/\mathbf{c}_{0}}{(\dot{\mathbf{x}}/\mathbf{c}_{0}) - (\mathbf{v}/\mathbf{c}_{0})}\right] d\left(\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{t}_{u}^{+}}\right)$$
(2.6)

and, after appropriate substitutions (see Sec. 2.1, Nomenclature)

$$dV = QA \left(\frac{U-V}{U}\right)^2 \frac{\dot{X}}{\dot{X}-V} dZ$$
(2.7)

Two additional quantities are used in dimensionless form, distance of travel and acceleration. Since both are functions of velocity and time, their dimensionless forms are determined by dimensionless velocity and time. Thus

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{Z} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{c}_0} \frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{t}_u^+} = \frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{c}_0 \mathbf{t}_u^+}$$
(2.8)

and

$$\dot{\mathbf{V}} = \frac{\mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{Z}} = \frac{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{u}}^{+}}{\mathbf{c}_{0}\mathbf{t}} = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{u}}^{+}}{\mathbf{c}_{0}}$$
 (2.9)

2.2.4 Approximation Solution

The explicit expressions of Q, U, and \dot{X} as a function of time for a particular blast wave are very cumbersome. Added to this difficulty is the fact that the variable V cannot be separated from the time-dependent variables (see Eq. 2.7). Hope for a complete mathematical solution was soon abandoned.

A stepwise solution was then attempted which would permit the blast parameters to be held constant for small increments of time but would allow the missile velocity to vary as a nonlinear function of time. So that a simple mathematical integration can be accomplished, the time-correction term, $\dot{X}/(\dot{X} - V)$, was not included. Thus

$$\int_{V_0}^{V_0 + \Delta V} \frac{d\mathbf{V}}{(\underline{\mathbf{U}} - \mathbf{V})^2} = \underline{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{A} \int_{Z_0}^{Z_0 + \Delta Z'} d\mathbf{Z}$$
(2.10)

where V_0 and Z_0 are the velocity and time, respectively, at the beginning of the time period and ΔV is the change in velocity in time $\Delta Z'$. Underlined U and Q indicate average values over the time $\Delta Z'$.

Integration of Eq. 2.10 and substitution of limits yields

$$\frac{1}{\underline{U} - V_0 - \Delta V} - \frac{1}{\underline{U} - V_0} = \underline{Q} A \Delta Z'$$
(2.11)

The average missile velocity during the time period $\Delta Z'$ is $[V_0 + (1/2)\Delta V]$. Thus the timecorrection term (see Eq. 2.3) expressed in dimensionless incremental form is

$$\Delta \mathbf{Z}' = \Delta \mathbf{Z} \frac{\underline{\dot{\mathbf{X}}}}{\underline{\dot{\mathbf{X}}} - \mathbf{V}_0 - (1/2)\Delta \mathbf{V}}$$
(2.12)

Eliminating $\Delta Z'$ between Eqs. 2.11 and 2.12 and solving for ΔV , the following is obtained

$$\Delta V = e + f - \sqrt{e^2 + 2fg + f^2}$$
(2.13)

where $e = X - V_0$

$$f = \overline{AQ} (\underline{U} - V_0) \underline{\dot{X}} (\Delta Z / \underline{U}^2)$$
$$g = \underline{\dot{X}} - \underline{U}$$

The velocity at the end of any step is the summation of the ΔV 's computed from the beginning of the integration.

Incremental distance, ΔD , was computed by the following:

$$\Delta \mathbf{D} = \left[\mathbf{V}_0 + (1/2) \Delta \mathbf{V} \right] \Delta \mathbf{Z}' \tag{2.14}$$

where V_0 refers to the velocity at the beginning of the step. $\Delta Z'$ is defined in Eq. 2.12.

Evaluation of acceleration ($\dot{V} = dV/dZ$) presented little difficulty since integration was not involved. Furthermore, the time-correction term was not necessary because, by definition, \dot{V} is the instantaneous time rate of change in velocity. Thus, the following equation was formed from Eq. 2.7:

$$\dot{\mathbf{V}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{V}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{A}\left(\frac{\mathbf{U}-\mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{U}}\right)^2 \tag{2.15}$$

2.3 EVALUATION OF BLAST-WAVE VARIABLES

2.3.1 General Remarks

A particular classical blast wave can be completely defined mathematically by the parameters of shock strength (p_s/p_0) , duration, and either the velocity of sound or the temperature for ambient conditions. Secondary-missile computations were made for selected values of shock strength, each of which is applicable to any value of duration (and thus bomb yield) or ambient sound velocity between wide limits.

2.3.2 Dynamic Pressure and Wind Velocity

Although dynamic pressure (from which wind may be computed) has been measured in ac-

tual blast situations, values computed from theoretical considerations were used in this study. The reason for this was both the higher reliability and the greater facility for numerical treatment of the computed parameters over the measured ones. Of the several studies made of the blast wave, those made by Harold L. Brode of Rand Corporation^{1,2} were found to be most useful for the present study. The equations³ listed below are empirical relations determined by fitting curves to computed blast data. In terminology consistent with the present study, dynamic pressure as a function at shock overpressure and time is given by

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{S}} \left(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{Z} \right) \left[\mathbf{J} \mathbf{e}^{-\gamma \mathbf{Z}} + \mathbf{K} \mathbf{e}^{-\delta \mathbf{Z}} \right]$$
(2.16)

where
$$\mathbf{Q}_{s} = \left(\frac{2.5 \ P_{s}^{2}}{7 + P_{s}}\right) \left(\frac{1 + 2 \times 10^{-8} \ P_{s}^{4}}{1 + 10^{-8} \ P_{s}^{4}}\right)$$

 $J = 1.186 \ P_{s}^{\frac{1}{3}} \text{ for } P_{s} < 0.6$
 $J = 1 \ \text{for } 0.6 \le P_{s} \le 1.0$
 $J = (10^{4} \ P_{s}^{-\frac{1}{4}})/(10^{4} + P_{s}^{2}) \ \text{for } P_{s} > 1$
 $K = 1 - J$
 $\gamma = (1/4) + 3.6 \ P_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}$
 $\delta = (7 + 8 \ P_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + 2 \ P_{s}^{2}/(240 + P_{s})$

The relation for wind, or particle, velocity is

$$U = U_s (1 - Z) e^{-\nu Z}$$
(2.17)

where $U_s = (P_s)/(1 + P_s^{\frac{1}{2}})$ $\nu = P_s^{\frac{1}{3}} + 0.0032 P_s^{\frac{3}{2}}$

2.3.3 Overpressure vs. Time and Overpressure Impulse

Overpressure as a function of time does not enter directly into the computation of secondary-missile behavior; nevertheless, it seems appropriate to consider this relation since it is the most commonly measured parameter of the real blast wave. Thus, overpressure-time can be considered to be the bridge between secondary-missile field data and the computed data resulting from the present study.

The following overpressure-time relation was obtained from Brode:¹⁻³

$$P = P_{s} (1 - T) (ae^{-iT} + be^{-jT})$$
(2.18)

where
$$a = \frac{2.282 (8 + P_s)}{27.658 + P_s + 1.2 P_s^2 + 0.007 P_s^3} + 0.23$$

 $b = 1 - a$
 $i = \sqrt{\frac{P_s}{1 + 0.1 P_s}} + \frac{1.5 P_s^2}{1500 + P_s^{3/2}}$
 $j = 9 + 1.4 P_s$

Pressure instrumentation used in field work often produces a more accurate measurement of overpressure impulse than of shock overpressure. Indeed, an improved estimate of shock overpressure can be made by making use of the impulse relation described below.

Overpressure impulse is defined as

$$I = \int_0^{t_p^+} P dt$$
 (2.19)

However, to facilitate integration of Eq. 2.18 in terms of normalized time, the following relation was used:

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{t}/\mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{p}}^{+} \tag{2.20}$$

thus,

$$dt = t_p^+ dT \tag{2.21}$$

A combination of Eqs. 2.19 and 2.21 gives

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{p}}^{+} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{P} \, \mathbf{dT}$$
(2.22)

Integration of Eq. 2.18 in the manner indicated by Eq. 2.22 yields the following:

$$I = P_{s}t_{p}^{+}\left[\frac{a}{e^{2}}\left(e^{-i}+i-1\right) + \frac{b}{f^{2}}\left(e^{-j}+j-1\right)\right]$$
(2.23)

Figure 2.1, a plot of P_s in atmospheres as a function of I/t_p^+ also in atmospheres, illustrates this relation graphically. This plot can be thought of as defining the "shape factor" of the overpressure-time curve as a function of maximum overpressure. If impulse, I, and duration, t_p^+ , are measured by suitable instrumentation, then a value of shock overpressure can be determined from the curve shown in this figure.

2.3.4 Duration Concepts

(a) Positive-overpressure Duration. To evaluate the computed motion parameters for a particular yield, it is obviously necessary to know the duration of the blast wave (identified by peak or shock overpressure) of interest. For this purpose the durations computed from theoretical considerations for free-air conditions, such as those by Brode, are of little value since the complex effects of surface reflections are not considered. Thus, the semiempirical relations presented in Chap. 3 of *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*⁴ were used to define overpressure duration as a function of yield, overpressure, ambient pressure, and the speed of sound. Using data for both the surface burst and the "typical air burst," the following mathematical expression was derived

$$\log t_{\rm p}^{+} = 5.7995 + (1/3) \log W - 0.2957 \log p_{\rm s} - 0.0376 \log p_{\rm 0} - \log c_{\rm 0}$$
(2.24)

where t_p^+ = duration of positive overpressure in milliseconds

W = yield in kilotons

 $p_s = shock$ overpressure in pounds per square inch

- p_0 = ambient pressure in pounds per square inch
- c_0 = velocity of sound in the undisturbed air in feet per second

The above equation reflects data for the surface burst for shock overpressure (sea-level conditions) from 1.68 to 36.7 psi and for the "typical air burst" from 1.86 to 19.7 psi.

(b) Overpressure vs. Wind Duration. Instrumentation used in past weapons tests was not refined enough to establish a relation between overpressure and wind duration. However, the theoretical work quoted above has established such a relation. Figure 2.2, derived from Brode's work,^{1,3} presents the ratio of the wind duration to the pressure duration for overprespures up to 3 atm (44.1 psi at sea level). It is apparent from this chart that for the higher overpressures air-particle inertia has the effect of sustaining positive winds for an appreciable time after the overpressure has become negative.

2.3.5 Velocity of Propagation of the Pressure Disturbance

It has been shown that it is necessary to know the velocity of propagation of the pressure disturbance in order to compute the motion of objects displaced by blast waves. An easily evaluated relation⁵ that was used for this purpose is:

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{3}{5}\mathbf{U} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{3}{5}\mathbf{U}\right)^2}$$
 (2.25)

₹









Two objections might be raised to the use of the above equation for the purposes of this study. First, it applies strictly to the speed of propagation of the shock front, not to pressure regions behind the front. Second, it was derived for nondivergent flow; whereas the present study applies to divergent flow. In spite of these limitations, the relation was found to be in reasonable agreement with work done by $Brode^{1,2}$ as quoted in Sec. 2.3.2.

This, added to the fact that X appears only in the time-correction term (see Eq. 2.7), whose effect on the computed value of dV is second order, probably justifies its use in the present context.

REFERENCES

- 1. Harold L. Brode, Numerical Solutions of Spherical Blast Waves, J. Appl. Phys., 26: 766-775 (June 1955).
- 2. Harold L. Brode, Point Source Explosion in Air, Report AECU-3517, The Rand Corporation, Dec. 3, 1956.
- 3. Harold L. Brode, personal communication.
- 4. Samuel Glasstone (Ed.), *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*, Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., June 1957.
- 5. Ascher H. Shapiro, The Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Compressible Fluid Flow, Vol. 2, p. 1001, Ronald Press Company, New York, 1954.

Chapter 3

COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

3.1 SCOPE OF COMPUTATIONAL EFFORT

Because computations were made in terms of dimensionless quantities, it was necessary to use only two independent variables: (1) the acceleration-coefficient numeric* ($A = \alpha p_0 t_u^+/c_0$) containing acceleration coefficient, ambient pressure, speed of sound, and duration of positive winds (yield dependent) and (2) the shock-overpressure numeric ($P_s = p_s/p_0$), which also involves the ambient pressure. Thus, five independent variables, one describing the object displaced and four describing the blast wave, were effectively reduced to two. It should be pointed out that the shock-overpressure numeric (along with the duration variable) represents or defines three other blast variables that are actually used in the computations; namely, dynamicpressure numeric (Q), wind numeric (U), and propagation-velocity numeric (X), all of which are functions of the time numeric ($Z = t/t_u^+$).

Thus, for computational purposes, it was necessary to set limits only on A and P_s . Consistent with the scope of the problem stated in Sec. 1.2, the limits arbitrarily set for A were 0.1 to 9000 and for P_s from 0.068 to 1.7 (1 psi to 25 psi for sea-level ambient pressure). Computations were made for 15 values of P_s within the stated range, and associated with each of these were 11 values of A (see Table 3.1, columns I and II), making a total of 165 complete numerical integrations.

3.2 GENERAL PLANNING

Figure 3.1 illustrates some of the considerations in planning for numerical solutions of the mathematical model. This plot shows the pertinent blast variables in dimensionless form as functions of the time numeric ($T = t/t_p^+$) for a 0.5-atm blast wave. Also shown on this plot are velocity ($V = v/c_0$) and displacement ($D = d/t_u^+c_0$) computed for an object with an acceleration coefficient ($A = \alpha p_0 t_u^+/c_0$) of 30. It should be noted that all plotted quantities change most rapidly at early times. This means that a stepwise solution should be started using small time increments, these to be lengthened as the solution progresses. Also of interest on this chart is the U' curve, which represents the wind numeric at the position of the moving object rather than at a fixed position. At T = 0.5, missile velocity was equal to that of the wind, and so the integration was stopped. Sixty-two steps were taken to arrive at the solution shown here.

3.3 STEP SIZE

As shock overpressures increase, the rate of decay of the blast variables from shock values also increases. For mean-value assumptions (i.e., the assumption that the variable is constant with a value equal to the mean over a specified time increment) to be equally valid for

^{*}Numeric is used here to designate a dimensionless quantity.



 TABLE 3.1—INCREMENTAL VALUES OF THE INDEPENDENT

 VARIABLE AND PARAMETRIC VALUES FOR WHICH COMPUTATIONS WERE PERFORMED

	I	п	ш	IV	
P_s	t_p^+/t_u^+	Α	ΔT^*	T _j †	
0.068	0.900	0.1	0.0001	0.002	
0.10	0.885	0.3	0.0002	0.004	
0.15	0.875	1	0.0003	0.008	
0.20	0.855	3	0.0004	0.015	
0.25	0.840	10	0.001	0.030	
0,30	0.835	30	0.002	0.060	
0.35	0.805	100	0.003	0.120	
0.40	0.793	300	0.005	0.250	
0.50	0.760	1000	0.007	0.500	
0.60	0.740	3000	0.010	0.750	
0.70	0.720	9000	0.025	1,000	
0.80	0.710		0.050	Final	
1.00	0.675		0.100		
1.30	0.635		·		
1.70	0.585				

*Ten steps of each ΔT were used starting with $\Delta T = 0.001$. See Sec. 3.3 for an explanation of first four values of ΔT .

 $\dagger T_i =$ times for which computed results were printed out.

high overpressures, the time increments should be correspondingly decreased. Noting that the ratio of overpressure duration to wind duration decreases for increasing overpressures (see Table 3.1, column I) suggested the use of a set of time increments in T constant for all solutions. The ΔZ values computed for each overpressure (using $\Delta Z = t_p^+/t_u^+ \Delta T$) then decrease as desired for the higher overpressure blast waves.

The first computation in each integration series was made for a time increment, ΔT , equal to 0.001. If the velocity V so computed was greater than 0.1, the solution was discarded; then ΔT values of 0.0001, 0.0002, 0.0003, and 0.0004 were used, in turn, and T = 0.001 was arrived at in four steps. Succeeding steps, gradually increasing in size, were then taken until the end of the integration (see Table 3.1). If the initial step, $\Delta T = 0.001$, yielded a velocity less than 0.1, the integration proceeded from there without the use of the shorter steps.

The shortest integration, using the system described above, required 14 steps; this was for $P_s = 1.7$, A = 9000. In general, the number of steps required increased as A decreased; e.g., 75 steps were required for A = 3.0 and $P_s = 0.068$ and 81 steps were required for A = 0.1 and $P_s = 1.7$.

3.4 MACHINE OUTPUT*

Since printing out results at the end of each step would have slowed the computation considerably and also would have produced much more information than could have been utilized, it was decided to limit the output of intermediate results to those necessary for the preparation of accurate plots. Because of the time-correction term (see Sec. 2.2.2), time at the end of any particular step was different for each set of conditions. For simplicity in monitoring results and ease of plotting time histories, it was convenient to program output at a set of preselected time (see Table 3.1, column IV). Thus, it was necessary to program the computer to interpolate (linearly) the computed results between time steps to the times selected for print-out.

Special problems arose in the determination of the final values of the computed results; i.e., the values occurring at the time when missile velocity and wind velocity were identical.

^{*}The computer, a CRC-102A, was generously made available by Sandia Corporation.

Since missile velocity changes very slowly near the end of the accelerative phase, it was sufficiently accurate to take final or maximum missile velocity to be that computed for the first step where it equaled or exceeded the wind velocity. However, it was necessary to obtain the time at which this occurred by interpolating the wind values to a time when they equaled maximum missile velocity. Making use of this time, displacement at maximum velocity could then be computed. Final acceleration was always, of course, zero.

3.5 DISCUSSION OF ERROR

The usable word length of the computer was 36 binary digits or the equivalent of 9 decimal digits of input or output. The fixed-point fractional mode of operation required careful scaling of all magnitudes (primarily because of the large range of the parameter A) so that sufficient significance be retained without the need for rescaling. Binary scaling proved adequately conservative in the attainment of this objective.

Approximations for square roots and cube roots were obtained with accuracy greater than eight decimal places, and the exponential approximation is reported to be accurate to ± 2 in the seventh decimal place. (Cube roots were obtained by the Newton-Raphson method,¹ and the exponential, by the rational polynomial approximation.²)

Blast-wave parameters were evaluated from empirical equations that were derived by fitting curves to computed data obtained from a blast-wave model.^{3,4} Although Brode did not make a definite statement regarding the over-all accuracy of the blast model, he did indicate some deviation of the empirical equations from the computed data. From this it can be surmised that computations involved in the present problem were carried out as accurately as was warranted by the accuracy of the input blast data as well as by the probity of the missile model itself (see Sec. 1.2).

It is noteworthy that computed missile velocity becomes stable by virtue of the number of steps involved in each integration; i.e., if for some reason computed missile velocity at the end of a particular step is too low, the net wind velocity (U - V) used in the next step will be correspondingly high, thereby tending to compensate for the original error. As a consequence the final solution is not extremely sensitive to the magnitude of the time increments so long as, within any particular solution, the steps are sufficiently numerous for the compensatory effect to be realized before the computation ends.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. B. Scarborough, Numerical Mathematical Analysis, pp. 192-194, Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, Md., 1955.
- 2. C. Hastings, Jr., Approximations for Digital Computers, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1955.
- 3. Harold L. Brode, Numerical Solutions of Spherical Blast Waves, J. Appl. Phys., 26: 766-775 (June 1955).
- 4. Harold L. Brode, Point-source Explosion in Air, Report AECU-3517, The Rand Corporation, Dec. 3, 1956.

Chapter 4

RESULTS: COMPUTED MOTION PARAMETERS FOR OBJECTS DISPLACED BY CLASSICAL BLAST WAVES

The results of the 153 numerical integrations are presented in Table 4.1 in terms of the dimensionless parameters. Each integration was made for a specific combination of overpressure (P) and acceleration coefficient (A). Values of missile velocity (V), distance of travel (D), and missile acceleration (V) are given for 13 times during the accelerative phase of missile displacement. Numbers appearing in parenthesis after V, D, and V are scaling factors indicating the number of places the decimal point has been moved to the right. Consider, for example, the data tabulated for P = 0.10 and A = 1000 at T = 0.120. In this instance V(6) is read as 55677, and thus V = 0.055677.

At T = 0, the time of arrival of the blast wave, velocity and displacement are zero, but acceleration is maximum. "T = Final" is defined as the time after arrival of the blast wave when missile velocity is maximum and acceleration is zero. The displacement (D) tabulated under "Final" is defined as the total displacement of the object at the instant when the velocity is maximum. The actual time when maximum velocity is attained appears in the last column under " T_{final} ."

It should be noted that the time measurements used in this table are normalized with respect to the duration of the positive pressure phase. Since the duration of positive winds is longer than that of the positive pressure, T_{final} values are sometimes greater than unity. The exact value of T_{final} is, of course, the time when the missile velocity equals the wind velocity.

Examples of uses of the tabulated data along with various plots are given in Chap. 5.

Nome	TABLE nclatur	E 4. 1—C e (Parai	COMPU1 meters	CED MC	DTION F evaluate	d in any	ETERS y consis	FOR OE	JECTS stem of	DISPLA units):	ACED B	Y CLAS	SSICAL	BLAST	WAVES	5
<u>د</u>	= sCI	\mathbf{p}_{+}^{m}				m	= mas:		/ing obj	eure		u ≖ 	+ / + +	e wind	durano	n
л с	- ^u Po	'u/`o	ound in	undisti	irhed ai	^P m	- Dres	sure of	undistu	rhed ai	•	1 – V –	'/'p	ty of me	wing ob	iect
ٽ 	= drag	, coeffic	ient of 1	noving	obiect	- _P	= p /	'p				V(n) =	v / c	$\times 10^{n}$,	jeet
- D d	= disp	lacemer	nt of obj	ect		s	- m / = area	'o presen	ted to w	ind by a	bject	v =	/ o accele	ration o	of movir	ng object
D (n)	= d /	(t _u c _o)	× 10 ⁿ		.	tp ⁺	= posit	ive ove	rpressu	ire dura	tion	V॑(n) =	[•] t ⁺ _u /	с _о х	10 ⁿ	
_P	A	Т:	0	<u>.</u> 002	.004	. 008	. 015	. 030	. 060	. 120	.250	. 500	.750	1.000	Final	^T final
0(0	2	V (7):	0	88	175	345	635	1218	2253	3912	6333	8820	9941	10296	10312	1 000
.068	3	D (8): V (7):	0 49066	48500	3 47950	46860	44 45040	169 41460	641 35410	2327 26640	8439 16090	25850	47142 2990	70020 450	78517	1.092
		V (7):	0	293	582	1149	2109	4038	7433	12801	20435	27852	30821		31449	
	10	D(7): V(6):	0 16355	16155	1	4	14	56 13670	212	766 8541	2750 4970	8303	14963		22561	1.020
		V (7):	0	877	1741	3433	6278	11930	21674	36500	56161	72687	77374		77687	
	30	D (7):	0	1	3	12	43	167	625	2219	7761	22595	39619		49734	0.895
		V (6):	49066	48359	41000	40319	44085	39700	32709	22998	16136	3979	645		14904	
	100	D (7):	0	3	10	41	141	537	1952	6613	21514	57390			84057	0.676
		Ý (5):	16355	15998	15651	14987	13915	11942	8989	5442	2159	293			0	
	300	V (6): D (7):	0	864 8	1692	3245 120	5674 403	9907 1468	15714 4990	21879 15361	26410 44252				27340 94925	0.458
	-	ν̈́ (5):	49066	46971	45000	41392	35994	27296	16832	7547	1650				0	••
	1000	V (6):	0	2776	5253	9478	15143	22942	30579	35809	37497				37500	0 370
	1000	$\dot{V}(4)$:	16355	14546	13015	10583	7675	4336	1807	466	12150				(9332 0	0.270
		V (6):	0	7549	13179	20999	28954	36776	41926	43909					43993	
	3000	D(7): V(4):	0 49066	71 35858	259 27310	884 17282	2484 9279	7005	17782 948	41155					56458 0	0,159
		V (6):	0	17670	26508	35305	41625	46042	47903						48084	
	9000	D(7):	0	175	579	1712	4167	10150	22914						36728	0.092
		V (3).	14720	186	370	732	1346	2586	4797	8373	13662	19090	21447	22143	22172	
.10	3	р (7):	ŏ	100	1	3	9	35	134	488	1780	5484	10009	14854	16451	1.081
		V (6):	10563	10446	10331	10105	9727	8981	7720	5874	3592	1607	617	79	0	
	10	V (7): D (7):	0	619	1231	2433	4466 30	8550	15748 442	27142	43334 5735	58605 17274	64117 30974		65030 44789	0.991
		V (6):	35211	34780	34357	33530	32151	29451	24934	18455	10662	4157	1159		0	
	30	V (6):	0	186	368	725	1324	2507	4527	7550 4545	11421	14366 44931	14980		14990 87959	0.828
	20	v (5):	10563	10400	10240	9930	9418	8437	6853	4713	2352	630	40		0	0.010
	100	V (6):	0	615	1214	2364	4238	7745	13170	20056	26737	29507			29549	0 590
	100	Ŭ (5): V (5):	35211	34274	33371	31661	28948 28948	24111	17254	9631	3229	10392			12684	0.000
		V (6):	0	1815	3527	6674	11421	19217	28969	38092	43502				44039	
	300	D (6): V (4):	0 10563	2 9957	6 9398	25 8408	81 7002	287 4921	940 2717	2759 1042	7546 148				12672	0.382
		V (6):	0	5730	10604	18439	28080	39911	49951	55677					56779	
	1000	D (7):	0	52	197	717	2179	6786	18945	47387					97492	0.220
		V (4):	0	14926	24836	37145	48177	57673	63035	430					64485	
	3000	D (7):	0	140	496	1613	4298	11440	27641	61685					66790	0,129
		V (3):	10563	6740	4663	2596	1225	408	88	1					60220	
	9000	D (7):	0	323	1015	2836	6574	15342	33599						42608	0.075
		Ý (3):	31690	10438	5105	1957	672	153	9						0	
. 15	1	V (7): D (7):	0	137	273	540 2	994 7	1916 26	3574 98	6298 360	10428	14752 4146	16649 7613	17259 11338	17290 13067	1.114
		Ý (7):	78671	77860	77070	75510	72890	67720	58890	45730	28730	13000	5130	970	0	
	3	V (7):	0	411	817	1617	2976	5727	10648	18654	30564 3927	42539	47360	48571	48610	1 064
	5	v (6):	2360ľ	23347	23097	22607	21787	20168	17418	13352	8165	3461	1188	93	0	
	10	V (6):	0	137	272	537	985	1885	3466	5954	9430	12492	13406		13491	0.004
	10	D(7): V(6):	0 78671	77685	5 76716	74829	66 71681	255 65535	963 55283	3469 40583	22700	36978	65553 1498		87180	0.934
		V (6):	0	409	812	1595	2903	5459	9741	15930	23339	28069			28614	
	30	D (6): V (5):	0 23601	23188	1 22784	6 22005	19 20728	75 18311	276 14505	962 9531	3251 4283	9009 787			14907 0	0.737
		V (6):	0	1353	2659	5140	9097	16235	26597	38527	48331					
	100	D (6):	0	1	5	18	62	230	802	2548	7610				18291	0.493
		V (6)	10011	3959	7601	14071	23308	37233	52609	64838	70129				70248	
	300	D (6):	0	4	14	52	167	572	1776	4920	12715				16408	0.310
		V (4):	23601	21681	19979	17107	13344	8421	4021	1251	71				0 85944	
	1000	D (6):	0 Q	11	41	143	415	1215	3191	7580					11803	0.176
		V (4):	78671	61322	49088	33388	19384	8167	2417	287					0	

P	А	T :	0	. 002	. 004	. 008	. 015	. 030	. 060	. 120	.250	. 500	.750	1.000	Final	T _{final}
		V (6):	0	29712	46419	64522	78639	89205	94174						94913	111141
. 15	3000	D(7): V(3):	0 23601	281 12265	957 7478	2937 3581	7384 1471	18539 422	42794 67						78423 0	0.103
	9000	V (5): D (7): ℣ (3):	0 0 70804	5724 594 15437	7468 1768 6494	8791 4656 2178	9543 10315 671	9952 23180 124							10040 49210 0	0.060
, 20	. 3	V (8): D (8):	0	709	1412	2797	5159 34	9972 131	18694 501	33219 1847	55666 6879	79530 21660	90144 39963	93841 59715	94326 75430	1.195
		V (7): V (7):	41007	236	40880	40120 932	1718	3318	6211	11005	18345	25994	29258	30281	0 30336	
	1	D(7): V(6):	0 13889	13754	1 13620	3 13360	11 12921	44 12050	167 10551	613 8277	2275 5237	7125 2321	13085 886	19477 169	22777 0	1.127
	3	V (7): D (7): V (6):	0 0 41667	709 1 41233	1410 2 40806	2791 10 39970	5140 33 38568	9905 130 35793	18458 497 31048	32445 1818 23932	53308 6679 14589	73877 20600 5913	81694 37382 1872	83460 55103 108	83509 59218 0	1.058
	10	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 13889	236	469 1 13537	926 3 13198	1699 11 12632	3246 43	5958 162 9687	10200 582 7043	16013 2072 3807	20819 6108	22032		22 099 13452	0.894
		V (6):	0	706	1397	2741	4971	9290	16389	26321	37483	43582	150		43974	
	30	D(6): V(5):	0 41667	1 40854	2 40063	9 38542	33 36066	125 31440	458 24313	1573 15301	5210 6234	14074 784			20710 0	0.677
	100	V (6): D (6): V (4):	0 0 13889	2324 2 13299	4550 8 12743	8730 31 11726	15269 103 10203	26672 375 7746	42325 1277 4781	58939 3931 2129	70827 11310 430				72901 22876 0	0.437
	300	V (6): D (6):	0 0	6744 6	12803 23	23233	37417	57327 888	77289 2651	91341 7054	95988 17590				95997 19256	0.270
	1000	V (4): V (5): D (6):	41007 0 0	2017 18	3504 66	5549 223	20384 7607 623	9598 1747	10884 4410	1336 11356 10160	14				0 11371 13346	0,153
		Ý (3): V (5):	13889	10028	7569 6954	4729	2501	946 11997	245	16					0	
	3000	↓ (3): ♥ (3):	0 41667	441 18053	1452 9993	4268 4311	10175 10327 1624	25025 423	56278 49						87144 0	0.089
	9000	V (5): D (7): V (2):	0 0 12500	8302 867 1959	10362 2491 745	11795 6329 230	12545 13659 67	12921 30061 10							12976 54150 0	0.052
. 25	. 3	V (7): D (7): V (7):	0	108	215	427 1	788 5	1526 20	2869 75	5122 279	8633 1044	12351 3299	13974 6089	14533	14611 11534	1.199
		V (7): V (7):	04055 0	360	717	1422	2623	5074	9522	16940	28356	40143	4620	46504	46632	
	1	D (7): V (6):	0 21552	21351	1 21154	5 20767	17 20114	65 18813	250 16556	925 13078	3445 8287	10810 3585	19837 1321	29489 244	34777 0	1.135
	3	V (6): D (7):	0	108 1	215 4	426 14	784 50	1513 195	2823 745	4971 2733	8168 10052	11245 30943	12346 55942	12566 82193	12571 86992	1.045
		Ý (6): V (6):	64655 0	63999 360	63352 715	62086 1411	59957 2586	55734 4935	48467 9034	37432 15389	22617 23897	8734 30479	2533 31872	91	0 31910	
	10	D (6): V (5):	0 21552	21268	1 20990	5 20448	17 19546	64 17787	242 14861	866 10662	3061 5542	8918 1469	15513 126		18373 0	0.857
	30	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 64655	1074 1 63256	2126 4 61899	4161 14 59300	7520 49 55100	13956 185 47358	24332 674 35710	38369 2284 21496	53178 7414 7949	60127 19566 638			60345 26056 0	0.628
	100	V (6): D (6):	0 0	3528 3	6880 12	13102 45	22646 151	38751 544	59733 1809	80440 5419	93498 15118				95022 26728	0.396
	200	V (4): V (5):	21552	20464	19452	17631 3393	14981 5326	10901 7874	6316 10230	2564	406				0	0 3 4 3
	500	Ů (0): V (4):	64655	56495	49759	39389	27546	14769	5777	1323					0	0.243
	1000	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 0 21552	2959 26 14433	5005 94 10322	7645 310 5995	10121 841 2938	12330 2279 1026	13642 5591 238	14043 12612 6					14045 14590 0	0.137
	3000	V (5): D (7):	0 0	6521 617	9346 1974	11921 5614	13615 13207	14711 31215	15106 68967						15123 94176	0.080
	a a	V (5):	0 <u>+0</u> 55	10886	13173	14661	15394	15735	32						15768	
	9000	D (7): V (2):	0 19397 0	1144 2292 152	32 00 807 302	7928 234 600	16810 65	36491 8 2150	4054	7268	12307	17623	10008	20690	58110 0 20804	0,046
. 30	. 3	v (7): D (7): V (6):	0 9247	9168	9090	2 8937	7 8678	2150 27 8160	104 7249	388 5814	1457 3762	4617	8524 657	12729	16174	1.201
	1	V (7): D (7):	0 0	506	1008 2	1998 7	3690 23	7146 90	13443 347	23996 1283	40294 4797	56964 15073	63694 27633	65668 41028	65841 48756	1.142
		V (6): V (6)	30822 0	30548	30277 302	29746 598	28849	27054 2128	23912 3975	18990 7008	12031 11505	5091 15732	1819 17162	328	0 17414	
	3	D (6): V (6):	0 92446	91547	90641	2 88868	7 85880	27 79935	103 69639	378 53809	1391 32177	4272 11873	7695 3175		11771 0	1,035
	10	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 30877	505 30404	1003 2	1980 7 29194	3627 23 27869	6911 88 25282	12616 332 20989	21374 1186 14847	32820 4162 7420	41136 11986 1741	42616 20680 84		42633 23309	0.825

TABLE 4. 1—COMPUTED MOTION PA	ARAMETERS FOR OBJECTS DISPLACED BY	CLASSICAL BLAST WAVES (Continued)
-------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Р	А	т:	0	. 002	.004	.008	.015	.030	.060	. 120	.250	. 500	.750	1.000	Final	T _{final}
. 30	30	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 92466	1507 1 90267	2979 5 88139	5820 20 84084	10481 67 77580	19319 253 65751	33295 913 48368	51603 3055 27908	69808 9739 9431	77210 25202 450			77317 30955 0	0.590
	100	V (5): D (6): Ý (4):	0 0 30822	493 4 29024	959 16 27372	1812 62 24446	3097 205 20304	5199 726 14203	7811 2369 7778	10227 6931 2916	11592 18862 362				11700 30043 0	0.366
	300	V (5); D (6): V (4):	0 0 92466	1408 12 78821	2615 45 67944	4574 165 51892	7018 505 34628	10069 1584 17406	$12707 \\ 4456 \\ 6319$	14243 11223 1269					14554 23530 0	0,223
	1000	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 0 30822	4007 35 19210	6614 124 13089	$9801 \\ 400 \\ 7141$	12613 1057 3298	14977 2791 1080	16288 6701 227	16622 14888 1					16623 15638 0	0.125
	3000	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 0 92466	8452 80 29055	11745 250 13911	14572 692 5196	16324 1593 1770	17411 3697 393	17755 8066 19						17762 10009 0	0.073
	9000	V (5): D (7): V (2):	0 0 27740	13411 1523 2584	15882 3982 852	17400 9527 236	18113 19826 63	18421 42498 6							18440 61565 0	0.043
. 35	. 3	V (7): D (7): V (6):	0 0 12500	200 12399	399 1 12300	792 3 12104	1465 9 11773	2845 35 11105	5380 135 9919	9689 503 8014	16489 1898 5213	23665 6037 2304	26730 11155 902	27787 16664 244	27954 21476 0	1.214
	1	V (7): D (7): V (6):	0 0 41667	668 1 41314	1330 2 40965	2638 9 40280	4874 30 39119	9455 117 36786	17828 448 32661	31936 1662 26086	53819 6236 16555	76087 19633 6909	84941 35989 2433	87520 53412 451	87766 64400 0	1.156
	3	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 12500	200 12379	399 1 12260	790 3 12026	1456 9 11631	2812 35 10842	5259 133 9465	9284 488 7321	15239 1798 4343	20735 5512 1549	22522 9904 392		22817 15059 0	1.032
	10	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 41667	666 1 41088	1323 2 40520	2610 8 39416	4778 29 37577	9094 114 34002	16560 426 28070	27922 1517 19607	42468 5291 9475	52503 15092 2010	54045 25877 54		54051 28159 0	0.802
	30	V (6): D (6): V (4):	0 0 12500	1986 2 12178	3922 6 11867	7647 25 11278	13727 86 10338	25146 322 8651	42895 1156 6225	65500 3827 3462	86877 12011 1084	94593 30607 29			94626 35439 0	0,563
	100	V (5): D (6): Ý (4):	0 0 41667	648 5 38931	1255 21 36446	2356 79 32114	3986 259 26131	6582 908 17658	9678 2912 9221	12393 8356 3240	13795 22309 321				13873 32959 0	0.345
	300	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 0 12500	1836 15 10412	3376 57 8801	5812 207 6512	8748 623 4174	12247 1917 1991	15113 5281 683	16674 13059 123					16934 25233 0	0.210
	1000	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 0 41667	5110 44 24324	8261 153 15896	11945 485 8237	15031 1257 3639	17522 3251 1133	18828 7681 220						19114 16550 0	0.118
	3000	V (5): D (6): V (2):	0 0 12500	10385 97 3439	14091 298 1561	17125 809 555	18918 1834 183	19993 4200 39	20302 9082 1						20305 10523 0	0.069
	9000	V (5): D (7): V (2):	0 0 37500	15854 1799 2863	18478 4610 897	20011 10861 242	20716 22381 61	20998 47630 5							21011 64499 0	0.040
.40	.1	V (7): D (8): V (6):	0 0 5405	85 1 5365	170 3 5324	338 11 5245	625 38 5110	1216 147 4837	2308 569 4346	4176 2126 3542	7152 8072 2326	10315 25810 1035	11679 47818 413	12169 71554 124	12276 99719 0	1.290
	. 3	V (7): D (7): V (6):	0 0 16216	256 16092	510 1 15969	1013 3 15727	1874 11 15315	3646 44 14481	6909 170 12986	12481 636 10544	21305 2410 6866	30570 7679 2996	34470 14187 1157	35803 21181 314	36019 27648 0	1,227
	1	V (6): D (7): V (6):	0 0 54054	85 1 53613	170 3 53177	337 11 52320	624 37 50864	1211 147 47924	2287 565 42680	4107 2102 34193	6931 7902 21638	9775 24880 8843	10879 45545 3026	67506 542	81618 0	1.159
	3	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 16216	16062	509 1 15909	1009 3 15609	1861 11 15102	3596 44 14087	167 12304	615 9501	2265 5565	26282 6918 1900	28390 12385 445		18505 0	1.020
	10	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 54054	53278	1690 3 52516	5352 11 51035	37 48573	11580 143 43790	534 35884	1893 24677	6554 11475	18495 2164	31501 17		32888 0	0.776
	30	v (5): D (6): V (4):	0 16216	254 2 157,63	500 8 15327	973 31 14503 2044	1740 107 13201	402 10894	1429 7656	4678 4095	10449 14454 1183	36285 13			39581 0	0.537
	100	v (5): D (6): V (4):	0 0 54054	625 7 50090 2319	26 46531	2700 99 40426 7151	+700 321 32208	1109 21021	3499 10478	9858 3453	25862 261				35565 0	0.326
	300	v (5): D (6): V (3):	0 16216	19 13187 6310	71 10925	7832	753 4828	2271 2196	6139 713	14938 114					26743	0.198
	1000	v (3): D (6): V (3): V (5):	0 54054 0	54 29529	7777 185 18538 16446	576 9154	1465 3887 21444	3724 1155 22492	8678 207 22762						17359 0 22763	0.111
	3000	D (6): V (2):	0 16216	116 3921	349 1695	930 576	2080 185	4709 37	10108						10979	0.065

TABLE 4, 1-COMPUTED MOTION PARAMETERS FOR OBJECTS DISPLACED BY CLASSICAL BLAST WAVES (Conti	nued
---	------

•

.

•

.

.

Р	A	Т:	0	. 002	, 004	.008	. 015	.030	.060	.120	. 250	. 500	.750	1.000	Final	T_{final}
. 40	9000	V (5): D (7): V (2):	0 0 48649	18272 2086 3081	21013 5253 919	22542 12216 243	23232 24965 59	23486 52810 3							23493 67114 0	0.038
. 50	. 1	V (7): D (7): V (6):	0 0 8333	126 8277	252 8222	500 2 8112	926 5 7925	1808 21 7541	3445 81 6835	6279 305 5637	10842 1166 3733	15700 3750 1652	17784 6962 660	18546 10427 209	18737 14825 0	1.310
	. 3	V (7): D (7): V (6):	0 0 25000	379 24828	755 1 24657	1499 5 24321	2777 16 23745	5416 63 22564	10310 243 20403	18748 912 16744	32238 3477 10974	46383 11134 4744	52281 20596 1823	54316 30763 521	54742 42156 0	1,274
	1	V (6): D (6): Ý (6):	0 0 83333	126 82710	251 82092	499 2 80874	924 5 78794	1797 21 74546	3407 81 66825	6149 301 53929	10422 1135 34108	14680 3582 13611	16291 6551 4542	16739 9701 837	16786 11991 0	1.180
	3	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 25000	378 24770	753 1 24543	1492 5 24096	2754 16 23338	5325 62 21806	9979 238 19081	17633 875 14709	28792 3219 8459	38517 9784 2720	41321 17431 577		41691 25665 0	1.010
	10	V (6): D (6): Ý (5):	0 0 83333	1257 1 82071	2495 4 80833	4916 15 78427	8978 52 74431	17010 201 66692	30702 751 53969	50930 2643 36155	75199 9037 15816	89664 25084 2500			91114 41899 0	0.744
	30	V (5): D (6): V (4):	0 0 25000	374 3 24203	736 11 23440	1426 44 22011	2536 150 19783	4560 559 15935	7550 1962 10756	11068 6299 5400	13977 18986 1377				14736 46961 0	0, 503
	100	V (5): D (6): Ý (4):	0 0 83333	1210 9 76067	2316 36 69685	4266 137 59047	7015 440 45357	11082 1491 27936	15453 4579 12931	18806 12540 3867	20176 32074 175				20198 40074 0	0.302
	300	V (5): D (6): Ý (3):	0 0 25000	3350 26 19466	5992 98 15572	9889 343 10581	14180 993 6127	18740 2907 2588	22055 7632 775	23584 18145 101					23731 29349 0	0. 182
	1000	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 0 83333	8772 73 40540	13461 245 23831	18387 739 10903	22041 1829 4371	24735 4528 1220	25977 10350 191						26158 18758 0	0, 102
	3000	V (5): D (6): V (2):	0 0 25000	16256 156 4890	20959 445 1951	24368 1144 629	26234 2500 191	27243 5564 35							27462 11778 0	0.060
	9000	V (5): D (7): V (2):	0 0 75000	22867 2584 3527	25819 6340 973	27343 14474 249	28009 29240 57	28228 61350 2							28230 71606 0	0.035
. 60	. 1	V (7): D (7): Ý (6):	0 0 11842	175 11770	348 1 11699	693 2 11557	1285 7 11314	2512 28 10807	4805 110 9858	8800 415 8189	15268 1594 5434	22113 5139 2368	25002 9539 933	26050 14279 299	26325 20689 0	1.330
	. 3	V (7): D (7): V (6):	0 0 35526	524 35304	1045 2 35082	2077 6 34643	3852 22 33888	7525 85 32322	14372 329 29394	26250 1239 24275	45311 4746 15905	65121 15223 6741	73208 28139 2536	75953 41990 724	76552 58498 0	1.292
	1	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 11842	175 11759	348 1 11677	691 2 11514	1280 7 11235	2495 28 10659	4741 109 9593	8580 408 7763	14555 1543 4872	20398 4861 1879	22528 8868 600	23091 13102 104	23148 16217 0	1, 182
	3	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 35526	523 35205	1042 2 34887	2065 6 34260	3812 21 33192	7374 84 31022	13817 321 27119	24382 1179 20772	39565 4325 11645	52265 13048 3485	55616 23105 649		55989 32969 0	0.988
	10	V (5): D (6): V (4):	0 0 11842	174 1 11651	345 5 11463	679 20 11098	1238 70 10495	2336 270 9331	4187 1002 7435	6863 3497 4832	9934 11793 1979	11600 32183 255			11724 50288 0	0.709
	30	V (5): D (6): V (4):	0 0 35526	516 4 34242	1014 15 33021	1957 59 30752	3456 200 27269	6138 739 21412	9973 2558 13869	14261 8057 6545	17542 23730 1468				18240 53438 0	0. 472
	100	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 0 11842	1661 12 10641	3157 48 9609	5741 181 7939	9278 575 5883	14280 1907 3430	19338 5716 1487	22955 15274 406	24240 38258 9				24247 43920 0	0.282
	300	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 35526	4532 35 26463	128 20454	12828 441 13213	17890 1250 7247	22992 3562 2864	9130 801	21309 84					31570 0	0.170
	1000	V (5): D (6): V (2):	0 11842	94 5146	308 2850	907 1219	20510 2196 466	5324 123	11988						19952 0	0.095
	3000	V (5); D (6): V (2):	0 35526	194 5718 27313	538 2124 30406	1353 656	2909 192	6393 32 32714							12456 0 32714	0.056
	9000	D (7): V (1): V (7):	0 10658 0	3083 384 228	7414 99 455	16684 25 905	33410 5 1678	69669 3280	62.64	11447	19774	28472	32.092	33402	75464 0	0.032
.70	. 1	D (7): V (6): V (7)·	0 15909 0	15810 685	1 15711 1366	3 15516 2714	9 15179 5030	36 14481 9821	140 13175 18729	526 10889 34116	2014 7151 58594	6467 3065 83649	11968 1196 93707	17885 388 97100	27204 0 97878	1.384
	. 3	D (7): V (6): V (6):	47727 0	47418	2 47111 455	8 46502 903	27 45455 1672	108 43288 3254	418 39247 6169	1570 32221 11118	5992 20859 18726	19123 8669 26006	35233 3220 28589	52470 930 29257	74779 0 29325	1.317
	1	D (6):	0	15793	15677	3	9	36	138	515	1941	6073	11030	16253	20284	1.191

TABLE 4.1-COMPUTED MOTION P	PARAMETERS FOR OBJECTS D	ISPLACED BY CLASSICAL E	LAST WAVES (Continued)
-----------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------

.

TABLE 4. 1-COMPUTED MOTION	PARAMETERS FOR OBJECTS	5 DISPLACED BY CLASSICA	L BLAST WAVES (Continued)

.

,

					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•									
P 	A	T:	0	. 002	. 004	. 008	. 015	.030	. 060	. 120	.250	. 500	.750	1,000	Final	T _{final}
.70	3	V (6): D (6):	0	684	1361 2	2696 8	4970 27	9593 106	17900	31353	50269 5392	65532	69320		69708	0 978
-	-	Ý (5):	47727	47265	46807	45907	44377	41283	35773	26965	14672	4164	718		0	0.910
	10	V (5): D (6):	0	227	500	884 26	1607	3016	5352	8641 4332	12259	14091 38619			14200 57995	Tfinal 0.978 0.690 0.454 0.269 0.161 0.091 0.053 0.031 1.391 1.325 1.185 0.959 0.666 0.435 0.256 0.153 0.050 0.050 1.374 1.203 0.950 0.646
		V (4): V (5):	15909	673	15344	2534	4441	7786	9544 12411	5997 17350	2323 20895	259			0 21555	
	30	D(6): V(4):	0 47727	5 45771	19 43925	75 40531	252 35415	920 27071	3142 .16841	9713 7529	28030 1538				59489 0	0.454
	100	V (5): D (6):	0	2154	4065	7302	11612	17452	23080	26882	28088				28091	0 260
		√ (3):	15909	14071	12528	10101	7237	4024	1650	420	4				0	0.207
	300	V (5): D (6):	0 0	5796	10027	15800	21532 1494	27050 4166	30624 10478	32019 24117					32 087 33687	0.161
		V (3): V (5):	4//2/	34077 14136	25521	26691	8262 30787	3105	822 34651	71					0 34753	
	1000	D (6): V (2):	0 15909	114 6241	369 3284	1064 1339	2529 489	6035 125	13437 15						21070	0.091
	3000	V (5):	0	23956	29627	33241	35085	36019							36162	0.052
	5000	Ŭ (0). Ѷ (2):	47727	6498	2269	678	195	30							13080	0.053
	9000	V (5): D (7):	0 0	31560 3537	34768 8371	36223 18637	36821 37083								36985 79003	0.031
		V (1): V (7):	14318	412 290	100 579	25 1150	5 2132	4163	7939	14462	24841	35480	39802	41337	0 41793	
.80	.1	D(7): V(6):	0 20513	20380	1 20248	3 19987	11 19538	45 18608	175	656 13853	2505 8970	7995 3748	14738	21965 458	33526 0	1.391
	3	V (6):	0	87	174	345	639	1246	2372	4306	7349	10399	11590	11982	12070	1 225
	• •	V (6):	61538	61124	60712	59896	58494	55596	50212	40913	26071	10530	3806	1075	92126	1, 325
	1	V (6): D (6):	0 0	290	578 1	1147	2122	4125 45	78.01 173	13991 642	23363 2402	32088 7453	35065 1346/4	35794 19772	35862 24478	1.185
		V (5): V (6):	20513	20355	20198	19889 3423	19359 6303	18271	16277 22531	12921 39141	7802 61924	2810 79544	835 83588	133	0 83939	
	3	D(6): V(5):	0 61538	1 60897	2 60263	10 59017	34 56907	133 52663	505 45184	1836 33452	6612 17594	19496 4678	34073 714		46544 0	$\begin{array}{c} 5862 \\ 4478 \\ 0 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.185 \\ 0 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 3939 \\ 5544 \\ 0.959 \\ 0 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 6697 \\ 5299 \\ 0 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 6666 \\ 0 \\ 4822 \\ 5051 \\ 0.435 \end{array}$
	10	V (5):	0	288	571	1120	2029	3784	6644	10560	14678	16607			16697	1, 185 0, 959 0, 666 0, 435 0, 256
	10	V (4):	20513	20105	19708	18943	17694	15350	11719	7106	2594	242			05299	v. 886
	30	V (5): D (6):	0	853	1668 24	3189	5547	9594	15004 3798	20524	24235				24822 65051	0.435
		v (4): V (5):	01538	2716	5086	9024	44050 14120	32749 20743	26829	8281 30720	1524				0 31823	
	100	D (6): V (3):	0 20513	20 17840	75 15650	278 12305	860 8522	2754 4524	7921 1762	20371 418					50915 0	0.256
	300	V (5):	0	7202	12261	18922	25237	31069 4812	34673	35976					36017	0 153
	500	Ý (3):	61538	42031	30491	18019	9051	3245	815	55					0	0.155
	1000	V (5): D (6):	0 0	16984	24234	30726	34938 2885	37657 6784	38709 14955						38782 22077	0.086
		v (2): V (5):	20513	27759	3636 33804	1418 37438	500 39233	40117	13						0 40233	
	3000	D(6): V(2):	0 61538	268 7122	715 2340	1736 678	3651 192	7891 27							13640 0	0.050
	9000	V (5):	0	35709	38955	40364	40940								41077	0 02
	,	v (1):	18462	428	99	24	5								02115	0.02
1.00	• 1	V (7): D (7):	0 0	420	838	1665	3085	6019 62	11460 240	20815	35558	50433 10863	56385 19957	58504 29685	59207 47541	1.448
		V (6): V (6):	31250	31041 126	30833 251	30421 499	29714 924	1801	25530 3422	20826 6188	13312	5454 14723	2063	679 16876	0 17013	
	. 3	D (6): V (6):	0 93750	93091	92437	1 91141	5 88918	19 84333	72 75847	268 61310	1015 38463	3197 15169	5842 5416	8654 1571	12941 0	1.374
	1	V (6): D (6):	0	420	837 1	1660	3068	5953 62	11219	20000	33058 32.54	44873 10002	48773 17968	49711	49804 33134	1.203
		∛ (5):	31250	30994	30740	30238	29381	27629	24443	19154	11289	3917	1133	185	0	
	3	v (5): D (6): V (5):	0	120	250	495	909 47	1743	3215 688 64270	2482	8579 8821	25618	44422		59773	0.950
		V (5):	93150	417	824	1612	2905	5365	9265	14384	19458	21628	051		21702	
	10	D (6): V (4):	0 31250	3 30529	11 29830	44 28495	151 26343	573 22397	2075 16526	6959 9523	22201 3219	57530 244			78883 0	0.646
	30	V (5): D (6):	0 0	1230 8	2394 33	4540 127	7798 421	13202 1499	20060 4933	26600 14586	30664 40217				31195 75037	0.416
		V (4): V (5)∙	93750 n	88601 3874	83847	75368 12466	63215	44977	25272	9954 37974	1610				0	
	100	D (6): V (3)	0	27	102	370	1124	3501	9784 2014	24539					56771	0.243
							/									

TABLE 4. 1-COMPUTED MOTION PARAMETERS FOR OBJECTS DISPLACED BY CLASSICAL BLAST WAVES (Continued)

•

.

÷.

.

Р	Α	Т:	0	. 002	. 004	. 008	. 015	. 030	. 060	. 120	. 250	. 500	:750	1.000	Final	T _{final}
1.00	300	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 0 93750	10033 72 59448	16653 254 40990	24913 827 22619	32218 2198 10682	38567 5839 3599	42263 14111 846	43476 31574 39					43498 38927 0	0.145
	1000	V (5): D (6): V (2):	0 0 31250	22441 182 9401	31088 551 4355	38257 1504 1595	42633 3435 543	45389 7925 126	46370 17252 10						46418 23839 0	0.081
	3000	V (5): D (6): V (2):	0 0 93750	34854 331 8471	41589 857 2520	45220 2038 721	47022 4227 194	47849 9043 25							47935 14623 0	0. 047
	9000	V (5): D (7): V (1):	0 0 28125	43453 4754 467	46703 10898 109	48152 23754 25	48703 46671 5								48810 87711 0	0.027
ι.3	. 1	V (7): D (7): V (6):	0 0 50904	644 50500	, 1283 , 2 50100	2545 6 49312	4706 23 47968	9143 89 45231	17279 342 40280	31000 1272 32103	52023 4768 19851	72550 14907 7861	80561 27164 2931	83400 40221 981	84435 68159 0	1.523
	. 3	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 15271	193 15144	385 15017	763 2 14769	1410 7 14345	2735 27 13486	5153 102 11940	9199 379 9413	15302 1411 5699	21098 4374 2166	23258 7924 761	23963 11684 226	24163 18340 0	1.435
	1	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 50904	643 50404	1280 2 49910	2536 6 48940	4673 23 47297	9018 88 43997	16831 336 38182	29538 1230 29020	47752 4492 16374	63543 13551 5421	68549 24115 1540	69768 35121 261	69898 45333 0	1.230
	3	V (5): D (6): V (4):	0 0 15271	193 1 15057	382 5 14847	754 19 14438	1381 67 13757	2626 259 12428	4774 970 10219	8022 3446 7064	12117 11967 3353	14960 33980 781	15538 58322 101		15578 78039 0	0.949
	10	V (5): D (6): Ý (4):	0 0 50904	637 4 49455	1256 16 48062	2443 63 45435	4367 215 41294	7936 807 33999	13367 2867 23848	20085 9372 12837	26277 28995 3991	28703 73370 253			28770 97398 0	0.632
	30	V (5): D (6): Ý (3):	0 0 15271	1872 12 14232	3618 47 13292	6780 180 11664	11439 588 9434	18807 2052 6324	27541 6556 3294	35259 18764 1194	39647 50225 170				40132 88643 0	0.401
	100	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 0 50904	5816 38 41285	10573 143 34139	17897 510 24405	26386 1509 15046	36110 4547 6869	43817 12291 2306	48061 30002 455					48968 64662 0	0.232
	300	V (5): D (6): V (2):	0 0 15271	14564 99 8748	23417 345 5652	33709 1085 2880	42203 2797 1262	49042 7203 399	52783 16988 88	53889 37403 2					53899 43367 0	0.137
	1000	V (5): D (6): V (2):	0 0 50904	30518 240 12461	40988 705 5223	48842 1863 1787	53364 4153 583	56088 9398 128	56984 20201 - 8						57012 26147 0	0.076
	3000	V (5): D (6): V (1):	0 0 15271	44930 416 1017	52201 1044 285	56004 2429 76	57781 4966 20	58541 10518 2							58603 15899 0	0.044
	9000	V (5): D (7): V (1):	0 0 45813	54258 5716 504	57460 12862 117	58925 27692 25	59432 54027 4	/ .	/ .						59514 94835 0	0.026
1.7	• 1	V (6): D (7): V (6):	0 0 83046	97 1 82300	193 2 81562	382 9 80112	705 31 77653	1364 122 72698	2561 469 63917	4546 1731 49918	7516 6412 30033	10345 19799 11642	11436 35857 4342	11827 52921 1502	97502 0	1.638
	. 3	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 24914	290 24677	577 1 24443	1144 3 23984	2110 9 23206	4076 37 21645	7628 140 18899	13462 515 14575	22037 1893 8565	29966 5791 3181	32887 10424 1119	33854 15318 348	34170 25785 0	1.525
	1	V (6): D (6): V (5):	0 0 83046	966 1 82106	1921 2 81179	3800 9 79366	6986 31 76320	13412 121 70283	24807 459 59924	42926 1664 44304	68075 5981 24092	89200 17764 7753	95776 31384 2232	97464 45548 419	97673 61411 0	1.278
	3	V (5): D (5): V (4):	0 0 24914	289	573 1 24101	1128 3 23324	2056 9 22044	3880 35 19599	6958 132 15682	460 460 10413	1565 4703	20496 4361 1062	7427 145	•	21279 10100 0	0.965
	10	V (5): D (5): V (4):	0 83046	955 1 80201	1877 2 77493	3630 9 72452	64688	11499 109 51545	380 34415	1213 17426	3652 5090	9067 305			11948 0	0.630
	30	V (5): D (5): V (3):	0 24914	2792 2 22860	5357 6 21046	9908 24 17997	10414 79 14017	26200 269 8867	836 4309	2324 1461	6071 193				10426 0	0.395
	100	V (5): D (6): V (3):	0 83046	64348	192 192 51304	672 34681	1940 20100	5667 8534	14882 2695	35525 498					73475 0	0.226
	300	v (5): D (6): V (2):	0 0 24914	134 12805	32179 449 7748	1367 3670	3424 1526	8581 454	19838 95	43129 2					48278 0	0,133
	1000	v (5): D (6): V (2):	0 83046	305 16580	866 6232	2225 2076	4863 643	10833 137	23058 7						28706	0.074
	3000	v (5): D (6): V (1):	0 24914	503 1224	1230 333	2810 83	5681 21	11938 2							17324	0,043
	9000	v (5): D (6): V (1):	0 0 74741	666 543	1476 126	3148 27	6108 4								10285	0.025

Chapter 5

INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

5.1 GENERAL REMARKS

The motion parameters of secondary missiles computed in the present study can be used in many different ways. The purpose of this chapter is to point out a few analytical techniques that have been found useful. Although the treatment is not exhaustive, such practical subjects as weapon scaling, acceleration coefficients, and interpolation techniques are discussed. In addition, samples of computed missile data are shown in graphic form.

5.2 ACCELERATION COEFFICIENTS FOR VARIOUS OBJECTS

Acceleration coefficient has been defined as the product of the area presented to the wind by an object and its drag coefficient divided by its mass. To vivify the meaning of the computed results, it is necessary to relate values of acceleration coefficient to real objects. For this purpose Table 5.1 (based on Refs. 1-3) was prepared.

In regard to the acceleration coefficient for man, it is evident from these data that position with respect to the wind is quite important. Change of position during translation, as well as surface-friction effects, serves to complicate any attempt at an exact analysis. With respect to this problem, it is useful to note the results of an experiment reported by Taborelli et al.⁴ in which anthropometric dummies, weighing 169 lb dressed and having a height of 5 ft 9 in., were used in connection with full-scale weapons tests. In one instance a dummy was placed on a concrete ramp standing with its back to the oncoming blast wave. The blast parameters at this location were: maximum overpressure 5.3 psi, ambient pressure 13.3 psi, duration of positive pressure 0.964 sec, and the velocity of sound in the ambient air 1120 ft/sec. Partial results of the motion picture analysis shown in Fig. 5.1 indicate that the dummy was accelerated to 21.4 ft/sec in 0.5 sec after having been displaced about 8 ft. By this time the dummy's position, which was initially vertical, had become horizontal with the head toward the oncoming blast wave (see chart at top of Fig. 5.1). Also shown on this figure are predicted velocity-time histories for various values of acceleration coefficient. It is interesting to note that early in the displacement record, the dummy's velocity corresponded closely with that predicted for a man standing broadside to the wind ($\alpha = 0.052$ ft²/lb, see Table 5.1). At later times, because of rotation, the dummy's increase in velocity with time corresponded more closely with that predicted for a prone man aligned with the wind (see lower curve on Fig. 5.1). It should be noted that the record obtained for the dummy was terminated because dust obscured the test area; therefore, the latter part of the record was less accurately determined than the initial portion. There was some indication that the dummy's velocity was increasing slightly at the termination of the record. However, if 21.4 ft/sec is assumed to have been the maximum velocity attained, then it is possible to determine an acceleration coefficient that would produce a predicted maximum velocity of the same value (21.4 ft/sec) under the same blast conditions. The effective acceleration coefficient so determined had a value of 0.0268 ft²/lb. This is remarkably close to 0.03 ft²/lb computed

	α , ft ² /lb	Reference
168-lb man:		
Standing facing wind	0.052	1
Standing sidewise to wind	0.022	1
Crouching facing wind	0.021	1
Crouching sidewise to wind	0.017	1
Prone aligned with wind	0.0063	1
Prone perpendicular to wind	0.022	1
Average value for tumbling man in		
straight, rigid position	0.030	2
21-g mice, maximum presented area	0.38	2
180-g rats, maximum presented area	0.19	2
530-g guinea pigs, maximum presented		
area	0.15	2
2100-g rabbits, maximum presented area	0.079	2
Typical stones:		
0.1 g	0.67	2
1.0 g	0.32	2
10.0 g	0.15	2
Window-glass fragments, $\frac{1}{8}$ in. thick:		
0.1 g, all orientations	0.78	2
1.0 g, edgewise and broadside to wind	0.48-0.57	2
10.0 g, edgewise and broadside to		
wind	0.34 - 0.72	2
Steel spheres:		
¹ / ₈ in. diameter	0.139	3
¼ in. diameter	0.0696	3
\mathcal{V}_{16} in. diameter	0.0398	3
¹ / ₂ in. diameter	0.0348	3
$\hat{y_{16}}$ in. diameter	0.0310	3

TABLE 5.1—TYPICAL ACCELERATION COEFFICIENTS (α)

for a tumbling man in a straight, rigid position (see Table 5.1). Using the latter value, a predicted maximum velocity of 23.4 ft/sec was obtained in a displacement of 19.5 ft (see plotted point in Figure 5.1). The total displacement of the dummy, measured after the event, was 21.9 ft. This figure included, of course, the distance required for the dummy to come to a stop after maximum velocity had been reached, and therefore it cannot be compared directly with displacement predicted at the time of maximum velocity.

Other field studies^{5,6} have been made to evaluate the velocities of glass-fragment missiles originating from windows facing the oncoming blast wave (the window frames were mounted in the open and in houses). It was found in the case of the house-mounted windows that a considerable portion of the missile sample from each window had velocities higher than could be explained if acceleration coefficients noted in Table 5.1 were used in applying the results of the present study to the blast situations encountered in the field operations. However, if one computed on the basis of a reflected blast wave, the higher missile velocities were satisfactorily explained. Thus, it appears that the somewhat complicated hydrodynamic phenomena occurring when a blast wave enters a house by way of a window or windows produces missile results equivalent to a shock overpressure more than twice as great as the overpressure actually incident upon the house.

Acceleration coefficients for steel spheres have been included in Table 5.1 for comparative purposes. For instance, the alphas for a tumbling man and a steel sphere $\frac{9}{16}$ in. in diameter are about the same. Similarly, $\frac{1}{8}$ in. steel spheres and guinea pigs are approximately equivalent in so far as translation by blast waves is concerned. Thus, in theory, an "equivalent" sphere can be found for any irregular object. This concept has been used in weaponeffects tests^{4,6} taking advantage of the fact that velocity can be experimentally determined more readily for a sphere than for the object it represents.



Fig. 5.1—Anthropometric dummy translation history, obtained from full-scale weapon test,⁴ compared with that predicted using various values of acceleration coefficient (see Table 5.1) and the computed data in Table 4.1. Numbers adjacent to plotted points indicate measured or computed displacements. Blast parameters: $p_s = 5.3$ psi, $p_0 = 13.3$ psi, $t_p^+ = 0.964$ sec, $c_0 = 1120$ ft/sec.

5.3 WEAPON YIELD AS A BLAST PARAMETER

Although the motion parameters were evaluated without regard to yield, introduction of the latter at this point is both interesting and useful. Employing the well-known weapon-scaling law^{7,8} applying to given values of shock overpressures, the following relation can be written:

$$\frac{t_p^+}{(t_p^+)_1} = \frac{t_u^+}{(t_u^+)_1} = \left[\frac{W(p_0)_1}{W_1 p_0}\right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{(c_0)_1}{c_0}$$
(5.1)

Quantities marked with the subscript "1" are considered to be constant and to have reference or "standard" values. The parameters not so marked can take any set of appropriate values.

The next step is taken from the definitions of dimensionless acceleration coefficient (A) and displacement (D). The subscript marking is similar to that for Eq. 5.1.

$$\left(\frac{\alpha \mathbf{p}_0 \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{u}}^+}{\mathbf{c}_0}\right)_1 = \frac{\alpha \mathbf{p}_0 \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{u}}^+}{\mathbf{c}_0} \tag{5.2}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{u}}^{+}\mathrm{c}_{0}} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{u}}^{+}\mathrm{c}_{0}}\right)_{\mathrm{i}}$$
(5.3)

Eliminating $t_u^+/(t_u^+)_1$ between Eq. 5.1 and Eqs. 5.2 and 5.3, in turn, the following is obtained:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{c}_{0})_{1}}{\mathbf{c}_{0}} \right]^{2} \left[\frac{\mathbf{p}_{0}}{(\mathbf{p}_{0})_{1}} \right]^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{W}_{1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
(5.4)

$$d = d_1 \left[\frac{W}{W_1} \frac{(p_0)_1}{p_0} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
(5.5)

Thus, weapon yield replaces duration as parameter. The significance of this transformation will be demonstrated in Sec. 5.4.

5.4 MAXIMUM VELOCITY AND CORRESPONDING DISPLACEMENT

Data in Table 4.1, along with Eq. 2.24, were used to prepare Fig. 5.2, which shows the maximum missile velocity as a function of acceleration coefficient and shock overpressure. The tabulated data were made dimensional for a 1-kt burst where the ambient pressure and speed of sound were 14.7 psi and 1117 ft/sec, respectively. By use of the transformation equation, Eq. 5.4, and the definition of dimensionless velocity, the data on this chart can be made to apply to other conditions where W, p_0 , and c_0 may be different from those used in the construction of the chart.

Consider the translation of a man whose average alpha is 0.03 ft²/lb. For a 1-kt burst at a range where the shock overpressure is 1 atm, his maximum velocity is predicted to be 37 ft/sec (see Fig. 5.2). If the yield were 1000 kt, however, the adjusted alpha, α_1 , becomes 0.3. Entering this value for alpha on the same chart, again at the 1-atm curve, a maximum velocity of 195 ft/sec is obtained.

Figure 5.3 shows, for a 1-kt burst, displacement at maximum velocity as a function of alpha and shock overpressure, prepared for the same ambient conditions used for Fig. 5.2. Continuing the example used above, the man would be displaced 9 ft when maximum velocity was reached if the yield were 1 kt. However, if it were 1000 kt, his displacement would be $28 \times 1000^{\frac{1}{3}} = 280$ ft (see Eqs. 5.4 and 5.5).

Figures 5.4 to 5.7 are similar to those described above except that the yields are 20 kt and 1000 kt (1 Mt). The charts prepared for 1 kt could be used for all yields, except for limitations in the range of the abscissa.





 $v_m = (v_m)_1 \left(\frac{c_0}{1117}\right)$

⁸⁴₩⁸⁴

 $\alpha_{1} = \alpha \left(\frac{1117}{c_{0}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{p_{0}}{14.7}\right)$





 $d_{m} = (d_{m})_{i} \left(\frac{14.7 \text{ W}}{P_{0}}\right)^{l_{A}}$ $\alpha_{1} = \alpha \left(\frac{1117}{c_{0}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{P_{0}}{14.7}\right)^{\frac{2}{2}} W^{\frac{1}{2}}$





 $v_{m} = (v_{m})_{1} \frac{c_{0}}{1117}$

 $\left(\frac{P_0}{14.7}\right)$

 $\alpha_1 = \alpha \left(\frac{1117}{c_0}\right)^2$





 $d_{\mathbf{m}} = (d_{\mathbf{m}})_{\mathbf{l}} \left(\frac{14.7 \text{ W}}{p_0 20} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

 $\left(\frac{W}{20}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

 $\left(\frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}}{14.7}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$

 $\alpha_1 = \alpha \left(\frac{1117}{c_0}\right)^2$



 $d_{m} = (d_{m})_{1} \left(\frac{14.7}{p_{0}}\frac{W}{1000}\right)_{5}^{4}$

 $\alpha_1 = \alpha \left(\frac{1117}{c_0}\right)^2 \left(\frac{p_0}{14.7}\right)^3$

5.5 ESTIMATION OF MAXIMUM VELOCITY FROM TOTAL DISPLACEMENT

Estimation of maximum velocity from total displacement can perhaps be described best by illustration. Suppose an object of unknown alpha were exposed to blast winds from a 1-Mt explosion at a location where the ambient pressure and speed of sound were 14.7 psi and 1117 ft/sec, respectively, and the shock overpressure was 0.35 atm (5.14 psi). After the explosion assume a total displacement of 100 ft was measured. This measurement includes, of course, the distance traveled by the object in stopping after maximum velocity had been reached. By use of the plotted data shown in Fig. 5.7, we can only say that the effective alpha must have been less than 0.0265. Then, referring to Fig. 5.6, it is determined that for an alpha less than 0.0265 under these blast conditions, the maximum velocity must have been less than 45 ft/sec.

The largest source of error in the above estimation, no doubt, embodies the use of total displacement as the displacement at the time of maximum velocity, i.e., "overshoot" was neglected. By means of simple experiments, the amount of overshoot, or stopping distance, could be determined as a function of initial velocity. Inclusion of this factor in the estimation procedure would result in a smaller but more accurate value for estimated maximum velocity.

Assuming that the overshoot is known, what are the assumptions involved in estimating maximum velocity from displacement? Essentially, it is assumed that the velocity-time history of an object is determined by the fact that it traveled a known distance in a known time. Mathematically, any number of velocity-time curves could satisfy the known distance-time values; however, the most likely one is assumed, in the above procedure, to be of the form of computed secondary-missile velocity vs. time for a classical blast wave. It is interesting to note that no previous knowledge of alpha is necessary and that an "effective" value is automatically obtained by the analytical procedure. Effective alpha could be modified in the real blast situation by such extraneous influences as ground friction or shielding without seriously affecting the accuracy of maximum velocity determined by displacement. However, if the missile were in the air at a considerable height at the time of maximum velocity and if the latter were fairly high, the estimate of overshoot could be seriously affected.

5.6 COMPUTED VELOCITY AND DISPLACEMENT FOR PARTICULAR OBJECTS

5.6.1 Interpolation of Alpha and Overpressure

Application of the computed motion parameters to specific objects and blast situations makes it necessary to interpolate between the values of alpha and/or shock overpressure for which computations were made. It has been found that linear interpolation produces results sufficiently accurate for most purposes. Graphic interpolation has also been found to produce satisfactory results. Charts prepared by such procedures will be presented later in this section.

5.6.2 Velocity and Displacement Predicted for Man and for Glass Fragments

Figure 5.8 was prepared, primarily, to illustrate the effect of yield on the velocity and displacement predicted for a tumbling man and 10-g fragments of glass arising from a window in a house (see Table 5.1 α values). The predictions apply to a shock overpressure of 5.14 psi, ambient pressure of 14.7 psi (P = 0.35 atm), and speed of sound of 1117 ft/sec. Also shown on Fig. 5.8 are the ranges from Ground Zero as a function of weapon yield where the stated shock overpressure could be expected to occur for a surface burst and a "typical" air burst.⁷

For illustrative purposes, the steps taken in the preparation of Fig. 5.8 are outlined. Velocity-displacement relations were sought for six yields from 1 kt to 20 Mt. For each yield, wind durations were computed for P = 0.35, $p_0 = 14.7$ psi, and $c_0 = 1117$ ft/sec, using Eq. 2.24 and Fig. 2.2. Dimensionless alpha, A, was then computed for each of the yield values used. The next step was to obtain velocity-displacement data from Table 4.1 for P = 0.35. For each of the times (T) listed in this table, corresponding values of velocity and displacement were computed by linear interpolation for the exact value of dimensionless alpha applicable to the yield being processed. Then velocity was plotted as a function of displacement for each of the

÷

44

six yields. Velocities were obtained from these plots at given displacement intervals until maximum velocity was reached. These data, along with the computed maximum velocities, were then used to plot the curves appearing in Fig. 5.8.

The procedure described above applies strictly to the treatment of the data for man. For the 10-g window-glass fragment study, it was assumed that the incident shock overpressure of 0.35 atm was reflected to 0.80 atm (see Sec. 5.2).

The plotted data appearing in Fig. 5.8 indicate that the velocity predicted for man is much more yield dependent than that for glass fragments. The reason for this is that the window glass having a higher alpha reaches wind velocity in a shorter time than does the man and therefore utilizes less of the longer duration produced by higher yield.

It is interesting to note that, for all yields, in only 1 ft of travel the glass fragments have already attained velocities greater than 100 ft/sec. Similarly, for all yields greater than 20 kt, man is predicted to be propelled at more than 10 ft/sec in just 1 ft of travel.

5.6.3 Predicted Maximum Velocities and Corresponding Displacements for 1-g Stones

The purpose of this analysis was to study the interplay of the effects of shock overpressure and weapon yield on the velocity and displacement of 1-g stones. The results, shown in Fig. 5.9, were plotted so that corresponding values of shock overpressure and weapon yield could be obtained for the plotted values of maximum velocity and displacement at maximum velocity. Data for this chart were scaled from those presented in Figs. 5.2 and 5.3.

An interesting concept to be derived from this analysis is that of "equivalent" shock overpressures; e.g., a 15-psi blast wave produced by a 1-kt burst is equivalent to a 5.7-psi wave from a 20-Mt burst in that both are predicted to propel 1-g stones at maximum velocities of 200 ft/sec. It should be pointed out, however, that the distances required to achieve maximum velocity are quite different. For the 1-kt burst the required distance is about 30 ft; whereas, for the 20-Mt burst it is about 300 ft.

From the data plotted in Fig. 5.9, it can be concluded that both velocity and displacement increase with pressure and yield; however, missile velocity is more sensitive to pressure (wind-velocity dependence) than is displacement; and, conversely, displacement is more sensitive to yield (duration effect) than is velocity.

REFERENCES

- Thomas J. Schmitt, Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Air Loads on Human Beings, Report 892 (Aero 858), The David W. Taylor Model Basin Aerodynamics Laboratory, Washington, D. C., January 1954.
- 2. E. Royce Fletcher et al., Determination of Aerodynamic Drag Parameters of Small Irregular Objects by Means of Drop Tests, Civil Effects Test Operations, Report CEX-59.14 (in preparation).
- 3. Sighard F. Hoerner, author and publisher, *Fluid-Dynamic Drag*, Chap. III, pp. 3-8, Midland Park, N. J., 1958.
- 4. R. V. Taborelli, I. G. Bowen, and E. R. Fletcher, Tertiary Effects of Blast-Displacement, Operation Plumbbob Report, WT-1469, May 22, 1959.
- 5. I. G. Bowen, A. F. Strehler, and Mead B. Wetherbe, Distribution and Density of Missiles from Nuclear Explosions, Operation Teapot Report, WT-1168, March 1956.
- 3. I. G. Bowen et al., Secondary Missiles Generated by Nuclear-produced Blast Waves, Operation Plumbbob Report, WT-1468 (in preparation).
- 7. Samuel Glasstone (Ed.), The Effects of Nuclear Weapons, Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., June 1957.
- 3. Harold L. Brode, Point Source Explosion in Air, Report AECU-3517, The Rand Corporation, Dec. 3, 1956.

Chapter 6

DISCUSSION

Although this study was intended to further the understanding of the secondary and tertiary effects of blast on a biological subject, the results are equally applicable to certain other investigative efforts, e.g., studies of physical damage resulting from secondary missiles or displacement. The model that was constructed to describe the motion of objects displaced by the blast wave requires no knowledge of the object displaced except its area presented to the wind, mass, and drag coefficient, all of which are assumed to be constant throughout the duration of the blast wave. In addition, the model requires that other forces which may be present, such as gravity and friction due to the object's moving over a surface, be negligible in comparison with those due to blast winds.

Thus, like most models, certain simplifying assumptions were made (see Sec. 1.3) in the interest of feasibility, simplicity, and uniformity. The determination of whether or not the results so computed apply with sufficient accuracy to particular situations is the subject of other investigations; in particular, those conducted in connection with full-scale nuclear weapons tests, the results of which¹ will be published soon. These field studies included the measurement of velocities for stones and spheres placed in open areas and also for fragments of glass from windows mounted in houses and in open areas. Experiments were conducted in locations where the incident blast wave varied from classical to nonclassical types. One experiment was conducted inside a shelter with an open entryway making use of steel spheres to estimate the velocities at which man might be translated. Other observations made under full-scale test conditions used dogs to assess the hazards of secondary missiles in houses and in open areas² and to evaluate the effects of overpressure and displacement inside protective shelters with open entryways.^{3,4}

The model dealt with in the present study describes the motion of a missile up to the time of maximum velocity, i.e., when the missile velocity is the same as that of the wind. For some applications a more sophisticated model might be desired which would take into account surface-friction forces during both accelerative and decelerative phases of displacement as well as the decelerative wind forces that occur after maximum velocity has been reached. Such a model would have application to large objects in situations where lofting is not likely to occur. Since total displacement, not displacement at maximum velocity, might be predicted, a model could be used to interpret field data where only total displacement was measured; i.e., total displacement along with appropriate blast data might be sufficient to reconstruct the velocity-time history of the object. It is important to note that this technique need not require a prior knowledge of the object's presented area, mass, or drag coefficient.

Again, it must be pointed out that the missile model described here applies to an ideal or classical blast wave. However, it is well known that blast waves may be modified during their passage through a building or into a structure³⁻⁶ and by the properties of the terrain over which they pass.^{1,7,8} Thus, atypical or nonclassical wave forms can and do exist. The important point is that empirical data are at hand for missiles energized by such wave forms. Construction of a theoretical model to predict the behavior of displaced objects under such circumstances can and should be carried out using the experimental data available as a check on the analytical procedures.

Such a model could supplement the present study of blast displacement of objects and allow extension of such thinking to aid in the estimation of missile and displacement damage to man somewhat along the lines of a recent study,^{θ} which tentatively set forth estimated maximal ranges for human hazards from missiles and displacement wherein the explosive yields were 1 and 10 Mt from an explosive source detonated at the surface of the earth at sea level.

REFERENCES

- 1. I. Gerald Bowen et al., Secondary Missiles Generated by Nuclear-Produced Blast Waves, Operation Plumbbob Report, WT-1468 (in preparation).
- V. C. Goldizen, D. R. Richmond, and T. L. Chiffelle, Missile Studies with a Biological Target, Operation Plumbbob Report, WT-1470, January 1961.
- 3. D. R. Richmond et al., Blast Biology-A Study of the Primary and Tertiary Effects of Blast in Open Underground Protective Shelters, Operation Plumbbob Report, WT-1467, June 30, 1959.
- 4. C. S. White et al., The Biological Effects of Pressure Phenomena Occurring Inside Protective Shelters Following a Nuclear Detonation, Operation Teapot Report, WT-1179, October 1956.
- 5. B. B. Dunne and Benedict Cassen, Behavior of Shock Waves Entering Model Bomb Shelters, Report UCLA-332, University of California at Los Angeles, April 1955.
- 6. Russell E. Duff and Robert N. Hollyer, Jr., The Diffraction of Shock Waves Through Obstacles with Various Openings in Their Front and Back Surfaces, Report 50-3, University of Michigan, Nov. 7, 1950.
- R. Hetherington, Notes on a Steady Solution of the Refraction of a Blast Wave by a Region of Preheated Air, FWE/62, pp. 23-36, Gt. Brit. Armament Research and Development Establishment, July 25, 1958.
- 8. A. B. Willoughby, K. Kaplan, and N. R. Wallace, Blast Shielding in Complexes, Report AFSWC-TR-57-29, Broadview Research Corporation, August 1958.
- 9. C. S. White, Biological Blast Effects, presented before the Special Subcommittee on Radiation of the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy During Public Hearings on The Biological and Environmental Effects of Nuclear War, Washington, D. C., June 24, 1959, Report TID-5564.

Appendix A

APPROXIMATION METHODS TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPUTED RESULTS

A.1 GENERAL REMARKS

The approximation methods previously described required lengthy computations for numerical solution, although the accuracy attained was satisfactory for a wide range of values of acceleration coefficient. It was realized that other approximation methods could be devised which would require little computational effort but that these methods would be valid only for special conditions, i.e., for short times after the arrival of the blast wave and for very large or for very small acceleration coefficients. Results of these approximations would serve a twofold purpose, that of extending and that of checking the computed results presented in Table 4.1. Material presented in this appendix describes the steps taken to accomplish this purpose.

A.2 EQUATIONS OF MOTION APPLYING FOR SHORT TIMES AFTER ARRIVAL OF THE BLAST WAVE

For short periods after the arrival of the blast wave, the acceleration an object experiences may be considered to be constant. Implicit in the above statement is the assumption that the blast wind and dynamic pressure do not decay and that missile velocity is small compared to both the wind and shock velocities. Thus, stated mathematically in numeric form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{V}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Z}} \equiv \dot{\mathbf{V}} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}\mathbf{A} \tag{A.1}$$

which, upon integration from zero to Z time and from zero to V velocity gives

$$V = Q_{s}AZ = \frac{dD}{dZ}$$
(A.2)

Integrating again between the same time values and between zero and D distance

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{Q}_{c} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z}^{2} / 2 \tag{A.3}$$

If Eqs. A.2 and A.3 are combined to eliminate Z, the following is obtained

$$\mathbf{V}^2 = 2\mathbf{Q}_s \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D} \tag{A.4}$$

 Q_s was evaluated for $P_s = 1.7$ using the equation presented in Sec. 2.3.2, and Eq. A.4 was used to compute V as a function of D for A values of 0.1 and 30. The relations between V and D thus computed are shown graphically in Figure A.1 as dashed straight lines labeled A = 0.1 and A = 30. The curved solid lines that approach tangentially the above mentioned straight lines

were drawn from the computed data presented in Table 4.1. It is interesting to note that at T = 0.03, the approximation is still fairly good for the case where A = 0.1 in contrast to that for A = 30 at the same time T.

A.3 EQUATIONS OF MOTION FOR OBJECTS WITH SMALL ACCELERATION COEFFICIENTS

If an object's acceleration coefficient is sufficiently small, it can be assumed that the velocity attained in a blast situation will be small compared to the wind and shock velocities. Thus, Eq. 2.7 reduces to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{V}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Z}} \equiv \dot{\mathbf{V}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q} \tag{A.5}$$

Using zero as the initial value of time and velocity, the above expression can be integrated to give

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{A} \quad \int_0^Z \mathbf{Q} \, \mathbf{dZ} = \frac{\mathbf{dD}}{\mathbf{dZ}} \tag{A.6}$$

Equation A.6 can be integrated similarly to give

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A} \int_0^Z \int_0^Z \mathbf{Q} \, \mathrm{d}Z \, \mathrm{d}Z \tag{A.7}$$

Combining Eqs. A.6 and A.7 to eliminate A, the following is obtained

$$\frac{\mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{D}} = \frac{\int_0^Z \mathbf{Q} \, \mathrm{dZ}}{\int_0^Z \int_0^Z \mathbf{Q} \, \mathrm{dZ} \, \mathrm{dZ}}$$
(A.8)

The evaluation of the above integrals can be accomplished by use of Eq. 2.16

$$\int_{0}^{Z} \mathbf{Q} \, \mathbf{dZ} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{S}} \left[\left(\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\gamma} \, \mathbf{e}^{-\gamma \mathbf{Z}} + \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\delta} \, \mathbf{e}^{-\delta \mathbf{Z}} \right) \quad (\mathbf{Z} - 1) + \frac{\mathbf{J}}{\gamma^{2}} \mathbf{e}^{-\gamma \mathbf{Z}} + \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\delta^{2}} \, \mathbf{e}^{-\delta \mathbf{Z}} \, + \left(\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\gamma} + \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\delta} - \frac{\mathbf{J}}{\gamma^{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\delta^{2}} \right) \right] \quad (\mathbf{A}.9)$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{Z} \int_{0}^{Z} \mathbf{Q} \, \mathrm{dZ} \, \mathrm{dZ} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{s}} \left[-\left(\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\gamma^{2}} \, \mathbf{e}^{-\gamma \mathbf{Z}} + \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\delta^{2}} \, \mathbf{e}^{-\delta \mathbf{Z}} \right) \quad (\mathbf{Z} - 1) \right]$$
$$- \left(\frac{2\mathbf{J}}{\gamma^{3}} \, \mathbf{e}^{-\alpha \mathbf{Z}} + \frac{2\mathbf{K}}{\delta^{3}} \, \mathbf{e}^{-\delta \mathbf{Z}} \right) \quad + \left(\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\gamma} + \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\delta} - \frac{\mathbf{J}}{\gamma^{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\delta^{2}} \right) \mathbf{Z} \quad (A.10)$$
$$- \left(\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\gamma^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\delta^{2}} - \frac{2\mathbf{J}}{\gamma^{3}} - \frac{2\mathbf{K}}{\delta^{3}} \right) \right]$$

¢

The relations derived above were used to describe V as a function of D for a 1.7-atm blast wave. These solutions are shown in Fig. A.1 as dashed straight lines for T values of 0.03,

1.00, and 1.71. For comparative purposes the accurately computed data from Table 4.1 were used to plot the solid curves, which deviate from the approximation lines at the higher values of V and D. It should be noted that for this blast wave ($P_s = 1.7$), T = 1.71 corresponds to Z = 1.0, i.e., it is assumed for this approximation that the missile was influenced by the entire positive phase of the blast wave. This, clearly, is the limiting case since it requires that the velocity gained by zero and thus A = 0. In spite of these assumptions, this approximation (see dashed line for Z = 1.0, Fig. A.1) is in fair agreement with the "final-value curve" at A = 0.1.

The relation between D_m and A is illustrated in Fig. A.2 for three values of P_s . As in the previous chart, the solid curves were obtained from the accurately computed data and the dashed lines represent approximate relations, which are accurate only for extreme values of A. Equation A.7, with limits on Z from zero to 1, was used to compute the approximation lines for small values of A. The upper approximation lines appearing on this chart are discussed in the next section.

A.4 APPROXIMATION RELATIONS FOR LARGE ACCELERATION COEFFICIENTS

It was found by trial that, for missiles with large acceleration coefficients, it was sufficient to consider the maximum missile velocity equal to the peak wind velocity; however, to estimate missile displacement at maximum velocity, it was necessary to compute by approximation methods missile velocity as a function of time and to take into account the decay of the wind with time.

Wind as a function of time can be approximated (for short times) by a straight-line function, thus

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z} \tag{A.11}$$

where B represents the initial slope of the wind-time curve, being a parameter dependent only on P_s .

Using the basic equation, Eq. 2.7, and the approximation written above, the following is obtained

$$d\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{Q}_{s} \mathbf{A} \left(\frac{\mathbf{U}_{s} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{U}_{s}} \right)^{2} \frac{\dot{\mathbf{X}}_{s}}{\dot{\mathbf{X}}_{s} - \mathbf{U}_{s}} d\mathbf{Z}$$
(A.12)

In the above equation, Q was approximated by Q_s ; U by U_s , except when V is subtracted from U; and V by U_s for the time-expansion term.

To aid in the solution of the above equation, a new variable is defined, $S = -(U_s - BZ - V)$, whose derivative is dS = dV + B dZ. After appropriate substitutions, Eq. A.12 becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{dS}}{\mathrm{dZ}} = \frac{\mathrm{AQ}}{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}^2} \frac{\dot{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{S}}}{\dot{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{S}} - \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}} \, \mathrm{S}^2 + \mathrm{B} \tag{A.13}$$

Since it is desired to integrate from V = 0 when Z = 0 to V = U when $Z = Z_m$, the corresponding limits were determined for S as follows (see Eq. A.11): $S = -U_S$ when Z = 0 and S = 0 when $Z = Z_m$. Thus, application of these limits to the integrated form of Eq. A.13 yields

$$Z_{\rm m} = \frac{U_{\rm s}}{\sqrt{AQ_{\rm s}B\frac{\dot{X}_{\rm s}}{\dot{X}_{\rm s}-U_{\rm s}}}} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{AQ_{\rm s}}{B}\frac{\dot{X}_{\rm s}}{\dot{X}_{\rm s}-U_{\rm s}}}$$
(A.14)

The arc tan factor in the above equation approaches $\pi/2$ as A becomes large.

The initial slope of the wind-time relation (Eq. 2.17) was found to be

$$B = U_{S} (\nu + 1)$$
 (A.15)

The distance traveled by a missile in reaching maximum velocity is approximately the product of $V_m (\approx U_s)$ and the expanded time (see Sec. 2.2.2) required to reach this velocity.

$$D_{m} = U_{s}Z_{m} \frac{\dot{X}_{s}}{\dot{X}_{s} - U_{s}}$$
(A.16)

Substituting Eqs. A.14 (with the evaluation of the arc tan function indicated above) and A.15 into Eq. A.16 yields

$$D_{\rm m} = \frac{\pi}{2} U_{\rm s} \sqrt{\frac{U_{\rm s}}{AQ_{\rm s} (\nu+1)} \frac{\dot{X}_{\rm s}}{\dot{X}_{\rm s} - U_{\rm s}}}$$
(A.17)

where ν is defined as function of P_s by Eq. 2.17.

Equation A.17 was used to plot the approximation lines for large values of A appearing in rig. A.2. It is of interest to note that for a given value of A (10^4 , for instance) the approximation of D_m is better for strong blast waves (P_s = 1.7) than for weak ones (P_s = 0.068). This is probably because in the strong blast wave the missile gains a higher percentage of the peak wind velocity than in the case of the weaker wave.

A.5 NORMALIZED VELOCITY VS. DISTANCE FOR MISSILES WITH LOW ACCELERATION COEFFICIENTS

A relation that has proved useful is normalized velocity (V/V_m) as a function of normalized distance (D/D_m) . Computed data from Table 4.1 were used to prepare the plots shown in Fig. A.3 for three values of A for $P_s = 0.068$ (1 psi at sea level). These plots illustrate that the smaller the value of A, the slower is the increase in normalized velocity with increase in normalized distance. Hidden in this relation is the fact that, for a given blast wave, missiles with the smaller values of A are accelerated over longer times and thus longer distances in relation to their velocities. Nevertheless, it would be interesting to determine if there is a limiting curve of V/V_m vs. D/D_m for missiles with A values approaching zero. To accomplish this, Eqs. A.6 and A.7 were used in the following manner (remembering that the maximum velocity and corresponding displacement are reached at a time, Z, approaching unity if the value of A approaches zero):

$$\frac{\mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}} = \frac{\int_{0}^{2} \mathbf{Q} \, \mathrm{dZ}}{\int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{Q} \, \mathrm{dZ}}$$
(A.18a)

and

$$\frac{D}{D_{m}} = \frac{\int_{0}^{Z} \int_{0}^{Z} Q \, dZ \, dZ}{\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{Z} Q \, dZ \, dZ}$$
(A.18b)

The above equations were solved for several corresponding Z values, and the results were used to plot the curve of A = 0 in Fig. A.3.

Fig. A.3—Normalized velocity vs. normalized displacement for various values of acceleration coefficient computed for a shock overpressure of 0.068 atm. Data for the solid curves were obtained from Table 4.1, and those for the dashed curve (A = 0) were obtained from approximation methods (see Sec. A.5).

5 • **.**,

.

CIVIL EFFECTS TEST OPERATIONS REPORT SERIES (CEX)

Through its Division of Biology and Medicine and Civil Effects Test Operations Office, the Atomic Energy Commission conducts certain technical tests, exercises, surveys, and research directed primarily toward practical applications of nuclear effects information and toward encouraging better technical, professional, and public understanding and utilization of the vast body of facts useful in the design of countermeasures against weapons effects. The activities carried out in these studies do not require nuclear detonations.

A complete listing of all the studies now underway is impossible in the space available here. However, the following is a list of all reports available from studies that have been completed. All reports listed are available from the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D. C., at the prices indicated.

CEX-57.1 (\$0.75)	The Radiological Assessment and Recovery of Contaminated Areas, Carl F. Miller, September 1960.
CEX-58.1 (\$2.75)	Experimental Evaluation of the Radiation Protection Afforded by Residential Structures Against Distributed Sources, J. A. Auxier, J. O. Buchanan, C. Eisenhauer, and H. E. Menker, January 1959.
CEX-58.2 (\$0.75)	The Scattering of Thermal Radiation into Open Underground Shelters, T. P. Davis, N. D. Miller, T. S. Ely, J. A. Basso, and H. E. Pearse, October 1959.
CEX-58.7 (\$0.50)	AEC Group Shelter, AEC Facilities Division, Holmes & Narver, Inc., June 1960.
CEX-58.8 (\$1.00)	Comparative Nuclear Effects of Biomedical Interest, Clayton S. White, I. Gerald Bowen, Donald R. Richmond, and Robert L. Corsbie, January 1961.
CEX-59.1 (\$0.60)	An Experimental Evaluation of the Radiation Protection Afforded by a Large Modern Concrete Office Building, J. F. Batter, Jr., A. L. Kaplan, and E. T. Clarke, January 1960.
CEX-59.4 (\$1.25)	Aerial Radiological Monitoring System. I. Theoretical Analysis, Design, and Operation of a Revised System, R. F. Merian, J. G. Lackey, and J. E. Hand, February 1961.
CEX-59.13 (\$0.50)	Experimental Evaluation of the Radiation Protection Afforded by Typical Oak Ridge Homes Against Distributed Sources, T. D.

Strickler and J. A. Auxier, April 1960.

·

.